

2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Institute of Mental Health Initial Review Group

Report Run Date: 05/01/2024 09:55:09 PM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Health and Human Services

2. Fiscal Year

2024

3. Committee or Subcommittee

National Institute of Mental Health Initial Review Group

3b. GSA

Committee No.

1957

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
--------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------

No 09/30/1994

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Not Applicable

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment Authority

42 USC 282(b)(16)

13. Effective Date

11/20/1985

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a.

Open

0 17b. Closed0 17c. Partially Closed0 Other Activities0 17d. Total0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next
FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of funding applications and proposals, including but not limited to grant and cooperative agreement applications and contract proposals for research projects and for research and training activities in areas relevant to mental health and mental disorders. During this reporting period, the committee reviewed 251 applications requesting \$319,448,263 in total direct costs for all years.

20b. How does the Committee balance its

membership?

This committee is composed of members who are authorities knowledgeable in the various disciplines and fields relating to mental health and mental disorders.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

This committee held five meetings during this reporting period on 10/03-04/2022, 02/03/2023, 03/02-03/2023, 06/05/2023, and 06/06/2023.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of funding applications and proposals, including but not limited to grant and cooperative agreement applications and contract proposals for research projects and for research and training activities in areas relevant to mental health and mental disorders. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the committee were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal

information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

Reports: This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. Dr. Tracy Waldeck is both the DFO and the Committee Decision Maker for the NIMH Initial Review Group based on the assignment of duties in this Institute.

Members: In general, initial/integrated review group (IRG) members serve up to six years, which is documented in the Members list. The permanent membership of this IRG may be supplemented at any meeting with temporary members who have experience or expertise in the disciplines and fields related to the IRG's function and are appointed to review some or all of the applications considered at that meeting.

Therefore, the Members list reflects meeting dates, not appointment start and end dates for these members. While only one meeting date is listed as an appointment start and end date, an IRG temporary member may have attended several meetings throughout the fiscal year.

Meeting rosters, including members' affiliations and zip codes are available online at <https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/>.

Designated Federal Officer

Tracy L. Waldeck Director, Division of Extramural Activities

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
AHMEDANI, BRIAN	07/24/2018	06/30/2024	Associate Professor, Henry Ford Health Systems	Peer Review Consultant Member
Andover, Margaret	09/08/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
BROMLEY, ELIZABETH	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Banerjee, Samprit	09/09/2022	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bearman, Sarah	07/19/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Boyd, Rhonda	07/24/2023	06/30/2027	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Broder-Fingert, Sarabeth	07/20/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chacko, Anil	07/20/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
DaWalt, Leann	08/29/2022	06/30/2026	Director and Senior Scientist	Peer Review Consultant Member
De Choudhury, Munmun	08/19/2020	06/30/2024	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Depp, Colin	09/08/2022	06/30/2026	Professor in Residence	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ghosh, Samiran	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Golberstein, Ezra	08/27/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
HANKERSON, SIDNEY	08/26/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor & Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
HANSON, ROCHELLE	08/26/2022	06/30/2026	PROFESSOR & Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Huang, Keng-Yen	07/20/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kharrazi, Hadi	08/28/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor & Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kohrt, Brandon	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Charles and Sonia Akman Professorship in Global Psychiatry	Peer Review Consultant Member
LINDHIEM, OLIVER	08/26/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lee, Wei-Chien	08/26/2022	06/30/2024	Licensed Psychologist & Counselor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Morgan-Lopez, Antonio	07/24/2023	06/30/2027	Fellow in Quantitative Psychology	Peer Review Consultant Member

POLLACK, HAROLD	08/01/2023	06/30/2027	Helen Ross Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Pavao, Carlos	09/13/2022	06/30/2025	Assistant Clinical Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
RUBLE, LISA	09/10/2022	06/30/2026	Earl F. Smith Distinguished Professor in Special Education and Autism	Peer Review Consultant Member
Salzer, Mark	09/09/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Schueller, Stephen	09/08/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sripada, Rebecca	07/20/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Stadnick, Nicole	07/20/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sturdivant, Danyale	07/20/2023	06/30/2025	Clinical Social Worker	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sylvia, Louisa	09/08/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Professor/Psychologist	Peer Review Consultant Member
Weersing, V	09/08/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wilcox, Holly	09/08/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Yang, Lawrence	08/20/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ybarra, Michele	07/01/2021	06/30/2024	CEO and Research Director	Peer Review Consultant Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 34

Narrative Description

NIH's mission is to seek fundamental knowledge about the nature and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The

Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A)applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts...

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

6,367

Number of Recommendations Comments

Grant Review - This is the number of applications reviewed by the Initial Review Group for FY2003 through FY2023.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to

implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings is subject to the Freedom of Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

An action of "approved" or "recommended" for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated **Number** of grants reviewed for approval 251

What is the estimated **Number** of grants recommended for approval 251

What is the estimated **Dollar Value** of grants recommended for approval \$319,448,263

Grant Review Comments

These numbers reflect the total number of applications considered (both scored and unscored) and the dollar amount requested in FY2023. The committee does not make a recommendation for approval.

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

N/A