

2021 Current Fiscal Year Report: Education for Seapower Advisory Board

Report Run Date: 03/07/2021 03:16:10 PM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Defense

2. Fiscal Year

2021

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Education for Seapower Advisory Board

3b. GSA Committee No.

73529

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

06/24/2020

6. Expected Renewal Date

06/24/2022

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

No

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment Authority

Director for Administration and Management Memorandum

13. Effective Date

03/31/2010

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open Meetings and Dates 0 17b. Closed Meetings and Dates 0 17c. Partially Closed Meetings and Dates 0 17d. Total Meetings and Dates 0

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other (rents, user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The board assesses the effectiveness of both schools in accomplishing their mission. The provides advice and recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and/or Secretary of Defense, through the Secretary of the Navy, on matters relating to the Naval Postgraduate School (NPS) and Naval War College (NWC) which include, but are not limited to, organizational management, curricula and methods of instruction, facilities, issues of accreditation, and other aspect of the organization and management of these programs. Before making an assignment, detail, or selection of an individual for the positions of President, Provost and Academic Deans of the Naval Postgraduate School, the Secretary of the Navy consults with the Committee.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Board shall be composed of no more than 10 members, who are eminent authorities in the fields of academia, business, national defense and security, the defense industry, and research and analysis. Not less than 50 percent of Board members shall be eminent authorities in the field of academia. The Chief of Naval Personnel/Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for Manpower, Personnel, Training and Education and the Commanding General, U.S Marine Corps Training and Education Command shall serve as ex-officio members of the Board, having voting rights and counting toward the Board's total membership.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Estimated Number of Meetings per Year - 2

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The varied backgrounds of all board members provides the Secretary of the Navy with a level of insight and experience, with a degree of detachment and a civilian sense of responsibility which cannot be duplicated internally. The board advises the Secretary of the Navy on naval graduate education programs. At the meetings a complete and independent evaluation of the school's established goals and mission is accomplished. Additionally, the two subcommittees are required for both schools' accreditation.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Meetings are not closed to the public unless the Department of Defense determines that items on the planned agenda meet the closed meeting provisions of 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c). Pursuant to DoD policy closed meetings can only be authorized by the DoD Sponsor, who is the Secretary of the Navy or designee, and only after consultation with the appropriate

General Counsel.

21. Remarks

While there is one (1) FTE associated with support of this committee in FY20, this represents 3 individuals - the DFO, an ADFO at the Naval Postgraduate School and an ADFO at the Naval War College. In FY20, the DFO also served as the GFO for the Navy. This committee is allowed to meet twice a year per the committee charter, as are its two subcommittees. The Subcommittees meet on-site at their respective schools. The parent Committee meets in Washington, DC. Members travel from various places within the United States. All related costs to this Committee and its Subcommittees are captured in the Committee Costs section. There are no costs input into the Subcommittee Costs tab.

Designated Federal Officer

Kelly Van Niman Designated Federal Officer

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Nowell, John	05/24/2019	06/02/2022	Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for MPT&E	Ex Officio Member
Dixon, Donald [Naval Postgraduate School]	12/07/2017	12/06/2021	Managing Director, Trident Capital	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Haynes, William [Naval Postgraduate School]	04/24/2019	04/23/2023	Independent Consultant	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Chu, David [Naval War College]	11/28/2017	11/27/2021	President, Institute for Defense Analyses	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
College, Craig [Naval War College]	09/07/2018	09/06/2022	Senior Vice President Strategy Development, CALIBRE	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
McCarthy, Joseph [Naval War College]	10/17/2018	10/16/2022	Independent Consultant	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Williams, Willie [Naval War College]	04/04/2018	04/03/2021	Independent Consultant	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 7

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- Improvements to health or safety
- Trust in government
- Major policy changes
- Advance in scientific research
- Effective grant making
- Improved service delivery
- Increased customer satisfaction

- Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements
- Other

Outcome Comments

An external board is required by the Naval Postgraduate School (NPS) and the Naval War College (NWC) regional accrediting associations.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- None
- Unable to Determine
- Under \$100,000
- \$100,000 - \$500,000
- \$500,001 - \$1,000,000
- \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000
- \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000
- Over \$10,000,000
- Cost Savings Other

Cost Savings Comments

While we are not able to determine exact cost savings, the advice provided by this Board to the Secretary of the Navy is significant.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

37

Number of Recommendations Comments

The Board did not meet in FY20.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

45%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

1. The Board received the full Navy Inspector General Report and met with the Secretary of Navy in person to discuss findings. 2. The Secretary of Navy appointed VADM (Ret) Ronald Route as President of the NPS. 3. ASN M&RA Memorandum of 15 April 2014 effectively closes the administrative loop on the 2012 NAVINSGEN Report. 4. Senior

Navy Leadership have taken appropriate steps to emphasize the importance of the NPS to the Navy and to the Nation in the wake of the 2012 Inspector General report. 5. The Navy has conferred Flagship Institution status for the NPS and the NWC. 6. NPS has developed a formal exit interview program for departing faculty members with reason tracking. 7. There is now a tenure process at the Naval War College. 8. Navy issued full closure on the IG Report of 2012. 9. Secretary of Navy agreed that NPS Faculty should put papers forward proposing the work they want to do and he will assist. 10. NPS President now has the ability to accept gifts from its Foundation up to \$250,000. 11. Secretary of the Navy has formed an eleven member NPS President Search Committee to include several members of the Board of Advisors to put forth a candidate for his consideration and a new Naval Postgraduate School President has been appointed. 12. The hiring freeze has been lifted this FY and NPS is now able to add faculty to the staff. 13. Many of the authorities have been restored to NPS leadership and the climate at the school is improving. 14. The Department of Navy has established the new position of Chief Learning Officer which will be filled in 2019. 15. The Board was provided the Navy's Education for Seapower Study report by Secretary Spencer. 16. The Board has received a copy of the Dept of Navy General Counsel's Memorandum offering legal interpretation of the appropriate relationship between the Naval Postgraduate School and the Naval Postgraduate School Foundation. 17. The Navy has outlined, defined, and granted status to the Naval Postgraduate School and the Naval War College as Flagship Institutions. 18. The Work Acceptance Process has been well defined and accepted by the Naval Postgraduate School. 19. There is now a functioning faculty council group at the Naval War College. 20. The Navy developed the POA&M for NPS Sailing Directions.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

20%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

1. Change to policy to allow enlisted service members access to postgraduate, resident education at NPS in the cyber warfare program. 2. Navy leadership is moving forward to fill vacancies of the NPS/NWC BOA and its subcommittees. Three new members have been appointed within the last year. 3. NAV OJAG and NAVINSGEN will work with NWC to provide a timeline for completion, strengthen the submissions, and forward the packages for the educational institutions time and attendance and copyright issues packages for legislative approval. 4. The Navy has placed renewed emphasis on timely vetting of new board members and reapprovals of sitting members. 5. The Naval War College has summarized the space shortages on campus and provided white paper on impacts to students. 6. Navy is currently reviewing the NPS President's Sailing Directions memo for

full implementation. The majority of recommendations have been approved—some recommendations are pre-decisional or absorbed into other Navy initiatives. 7. The Monterey Cybersecurity Institute initiative is currently being reviewed and considered by Navy. 8. A review by Navy sponsors and Navy Leadership is underway of Center for Civil Military Relations (CCMR) and NPS relationship. 9. Naval Postgraduate School is currently forming partnerships with other academic and industry. 10. The Navy is clearing the way to permit the Naval Postgraduate School to be aligned with academic and industrial enterprises. 11. The Navy is working with proper legal authorities to enable the Naval Postgraduate School Foundation to help support the Monterey Cybersecurity Institute.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

The Secretary of the Navy, Undersecretary of Navy, the Chief of Naval Operations, and the Commandant Marine Corps are invited to each meeting to brief the Board on new issues and to provide feedback on prior Board recommendations. Agency feedback is also provided by memorandum to the Board.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

The NPS and the NWC are able to maintain regional accreditations.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

N/A

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

- Contact DFO
- Online Agency Web Site
- Online Committee Web Site
- Online GSA FACA Web Site
- Publications
- Other

Access Comments

N/A