

## 2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: Environmental Management Advisory Board

Report Run Date: 04/20/2024 04:42:06 AM

### 1. Department or Agency

Department of Energy

### 2. Fiscal Year

2024

### 3. Committee or Subcommittee

Environmental Management Advisory Board

### 3b. GSA Committee No.

12

### 4. Is this New Fiscal Year?

No

### 5. Current Charter

01/14/2022 01/14/2024

### 6. Expected Renewal Date

### 7. Expected Term Date

### 8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

### 8b. Specific Termination Authority

AGEN

### 8c. Actual Term Date

### 9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

### 10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

No

### 10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

### 11. Establishment Authority

Agency Authority

### 12. Specific Establishment Authority

AGEN

### 13. Effective Date

12/11/1991

### 14. Committee Type

Continuing

### 14c. Presidential?

No

### 15. Description of Committee

National Policy Issue Advisory Board

### 16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

### 17a. Open

0

### 17b. Closed

0

### 17c. Partially Closed

0

### Other Activities

0

### 17d. Total

0

### Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next  
FY FY

<b>18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>18d. Total</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
<b>19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)</b>	0.00	0.00

**20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?**

The Senior Advisor for Environmental Management directs the Board to provide input, advice, and recommendations on various programmatic issues. In FY 2023, the Board voted to submit recommendations on three topics: EM's hybrid work environment, employee recruitment and retention, and a technology development roadmap for Hanford's tank waste. In support of its mission, members held an open, public meetings on December 1, 2022 in New Orleans, LA and May 22, 2023 via teleconference.

**20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?**

Board members represent a variety of experience

and expertise, including that of regulatory agencies, business and industry, academia, professional associations, and state, local, and tribal governments. In addition, the Board seeks assistance and input from stakeholder representatives and technical consultants.

**20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?**

The full Board meets semi-annually or as deemed appropriate by the Senior Advisor. In FY 2023, EMAB held two public meetings. During full Board meetings, proposed recommendations to the Senior Advisor are discussed and agreed upon in an open, public forum. These meetings also provide an opportunity for private citizens and other interested audiences that are not members of the Board to understand issues relevant to the EM program.

**20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?**

EMAB is the advisory body charged with providing advice and recommendations directly to the Senior Advisor on corporate issues related to the entire EM program. These issues include, but are not limited to: project management and oversight activities, cost/benefit analyses, program performance, human capital development, science and technology, and contracts and acquisition strategies.

**20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?**

N/A

**21. Remarks**

EMAB's mission is continuing in nature.

## Designated Federal Officer

Kelly K. Snyder DFO

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Abelson, David	09/30/2022	09/30/2024	Abelson Partners, LLC	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Howard, Diahann	09/30/2022	09/30/2024	Port of Benton	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kearfortt, Kimberlee	09/30/2022	09/30/2024	University of Michigan	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
McDaniel, Tracye	09/30/2022	09/30/2024	McDaniel Strategies Ecosystem, LLC	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Pinkham, Josiah	09/30/2022	09/30/2024	State and Tribal Government Working Group	Representative Member
Rispoli, James	09/30/2022	09/30/2024	Consultant	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Wilson, Shelly	09/30/2022	09/30/2024	Longenecker & Associates	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

**Number of Committee Members Listed: 7**

## Narrative Description

The Board provides information, advice, and recommendations to the Senior Advisor for Environmental Management (EM) concerning issues affecting the EM program. These issues include, but are not limited to, project management and oversight, cost/benefit analyses, program performance, human capital development, science and technology, and contracts and acquisition strategies.

**What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?**

Checked if  
Applies

Improvements to health or safety



Trust in government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Outcome Comments

Any significant program outcomes associated with the committee are contingent upon the scope of assigned activities and vary from year to year, based on the needs of the Senior Advisor. However, the Board consistently focuses on improving stakeholder relations, which in turn increases trust in government. Many of the Board's recommendations, which generally do not focus on direct technical applications, address the delivery of effective and timely clean-up that meets departmental, regulator, and stakeholder requirements.

### What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Cost Savings Comments

The cost impacts of the Board's recommendations are not immediately quantifiable because the focus of the committee generally lends itself to greater programmatic improvements rather than direct technical applications.

### What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

## Number of Recommendations Comments

31 recommendations were made in FY 2023

**What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?**

78%

## % of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Recommendations are evaluated and considered by the EM senior staff in conjunction with the core mission of the EM program. An estimated 78% of the recommendations submitted over the life of the committee have been fully implemented. It should be noted that some recommendations are either financially or administratively impractical or impossible to fully implement.

**What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?**

18%

## % of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

An attempt is always made to at least partially implement the Board's recommendations. However, it should be noted that some recommendations are either financially or administratively impractical or impossible to fully implement. An estimated 18% of the recommendations submitted over the life of the committee have been partially implemented.

**Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?**

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

## Agency Feedback Comments

The Senior Advisor for EM and/or their senior staff provide feedback through written responses to Board observations and recommendations, and attend EMAB public meetings to report on EM progress and various programmatic issues.

**What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?**

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities

☐

Reallocated resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

### **Action Comments**

N/A

### **Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?**

No

### **Grant Review Comments**

N/A

### **How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?**

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

### **Access Comments**

EMAB documents and information are available to the public by contacting the Board's Designated Federal Officer and via the EMAB website at <https://www.energy.gov/em/emab>. Furthermore, the semi-annual meetings are open to the public and are held virtually and at sites throughout the U.S.