

2023 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Institute of General Medical Sciences Initial Review Group

Report Run Date: 03/29/2024 08:09:01 AM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Health and Human Services

2. Fiscal Year

2023

3. Committee or Subcommittee

National Institute of General Medical
Sciences Initial Review Group

3b. GSA

Committee

No.

820

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

12/01/1987

6. Expected Renewal Date

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Not Applicable

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment Authority

42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16)

13. Effective Date

11/20/1985

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total

Number of Reports

No Reports for
this Fiscal Year

17a.

Open

0

17b. Closed

12

17c. Partially Closed

0

Other Activities

0

17d. Total

12

Meetings and Dates

Purpose

Start

End

NIH Peer Review

10/05/2022

- 10/06/2022

NIH Peer Review

10/11/2022

- 10/12/2022

NIH Peer Review

10/13/2022

- 10/14/2022

NIH Peer Review

10/20/2022

- 10/21/2022

NIH Peer Review	02/17/2023	- 02/17/2023
NIH Peer Review	02/24/2023	- 02/24/2023
NIH Peer Review	02/27/2023	- 02/27/2023
NIH Peer Review	03/01/2023	- 03/01/2023
NIH Peer Review	06/08/2023	- 06/09/2023
NIH Peer Review	06/15/2023	- 06/16/2023
NIH Peer Review	06/20/2023	- 06/21/2023
NIH Peer Review	06/22/2023	- 06/23/2023

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 12

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel		
Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$62,200.00	\$62,200.00
18a(2). Personnel		
Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel		
Pmts to Federal Staff	\$724,282.00	\$710,210.00
18a(4). Personnel		
Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$41,837.00	\$42,063.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$19,366.00	\$19,560.00
18d. Total	\$847,685.00	\$834,033.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	3.50	3.30

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that "The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of-- (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts.... " This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical review of funding applications and proposals, including but not limited to grant and cooperative agreement applications and contract proposals for research projects and for research and training activities in areas relevant to basic biomedical, clinical, and behavioral sciences. During this reporting period the committee reviewed 220 applications requesting \$589,138,699.00.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in the various disciplines and fields relating to basic, clinical, and applied aspects 3 of biology, chemistry, physics, and engineering.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

This Committee held 12 meetings during this reporting period.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level

merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise which is not available on the NIH staff or from other established resources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of this Committee were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

This committee did not produce any public reports. Members: Andrea Morris left the committee on 6/30/2023 as such her term end date is different from what was reported on the FY22 ACR. Joyce Lloyd was reassigned as a Chair. As such, her designation as a member was changed to Chair. Rakesh Patel, Thomas Edward Prisinzano, and Tanea Reed were reappointed as Chairs. As such, their designation as a member was changed to Chair. The terms for Arnaldo Diaz Vazquez, Miguel Garcia-Diaz, Lisa Harrison-Bernard, Marek Kimmel, William Marcus Lambert, Stuart Newfeld, James O'Donnell, Babatunde Oyajobi, Janette Christine Papp, Rakesh Patel, Thomas Edward Prisinzano, Tanea Reed, Barry Stoddard, and

Sheila Thomas changed due to their reappointments. As such, their term of service end date is different than what was reported on the FY22 ACR. Designated Federal Officer (DFO):

The DFO for the NIGMS IRG changed in FY23 and has been reflected in the ACR. The DFO and the Committee Decision Maker positions are held by the same individual because of delegations of authority and assignments in this Institute.

Occupation/Affiliation: Due to the larger number of members serving on this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide additional information on

Occupation or Affiliation. Additional information on an individual's affiliation may be obtained by

contacting the designated federal officer listed in this report. Zip Codes: Due to the large number of

members associated with this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide individual zip codes for

all members. Current individual meeting rosters, including zip codes are available on line at

<https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/>. In general, initial/integrated review group (IRG) members

serve up to six years, which is documented in the Members list. The permanent membership of this

IRG may be supplemented at any meeting with temporary members who have experience or

expertise in the disciplines and fields related to the IRG's function and are appointed to review some

or all of the applications considered at that meeting. Therefore, the Members list reflects

meeting dates, not appointment start and end

dates for these members. While only one meeting date is listed as an appointment start and end

date, an IRG temporary member may have

attended several meetings throughout the fiscal

year. Meeting rosters, including members'

affiliations and zip codes are available online at

<https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/>.

Designated Federal Officer

Latarsha Carithers Scientific Review Officer

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
AMATO-WIERDA, CARMELA	10/11/2022	10/12/2022	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ARDEHALI, HOSSEIN	10/05/2022	10/06/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ASOJO, OLUWATOYIN	08/18/2022	06/30/2024	CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
AUDHYA, ANJON	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
AUGUSTUS-WALLACE, ALLISON	10/13/2022	10/14/2022	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
AYLOR, DAVID	10/20/2022	10/21/2022	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BACA, JUSTIN	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BAREA-RODRIGUEZ, EDWIN	08/22/2022	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR AND ASSOCIATE DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
BASTONE, LINDA	07/13/2021	06/30/2023	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF PSYCHOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
BEAL, PETER	10/05/2022	10/06/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BENSON, GARY	07/15/2020	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BRADLEY, LUKE	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BUONORA, PAUL	09/09/2022	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CAMPBELL, ANDREW	09/09/2022	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR OF MEDICAL SCIENCE & DEAN OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL	Peer Review Consultant Member
CASTRO, MIGUEL	06/15/2023	06/16/2023	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

CHAUDHURI, MINU	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHUN, CHI-AH	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
COOPERWOOD, JOHN	10/11/2022	10/12/2022	PROFESSOR OF MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY	Peer Review Consultant Member
DARLING-REED, SELINA	06/15/2023	06/16/2023	ASSOCIATE DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
DARLING, ERIC	06/20/2023	06/21/2023	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF MEDICAL SCIENCE, ENGINEERING, AND ORTHOPAEDICS	Peer Review Consultant Member
DAWSON, PHILIP	06/20/2023	06/21/2023	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DE GUZMAN, ROBERTO	08/22/2022	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DEBRUYNE, JASON	06/22/2023	06/23/2023	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DERMODY, TERENCE	07/29/2020	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DEWSBURY, BRYAN	10/11/2022	10/12/2022	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DIAZ VAZQUEZ, ARNALDO	08/31/2021	06/30/2025	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DORRANCE, ANNE	07/29/2020	06/30/2023	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FENSTER, STEVEN	08/19/2022	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR AND ASSOCIATE DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
FUHRMANN, CYNTHIA	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GABORSKI, THOMAS	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GARCIA-DIAZ, MIGUEL	07/01/2021	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, STONEBROOK UNIVERSITY	Peer Review Consultant Member

GEYER, PAMELA	12/13/2019	06/30/2023	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GLASS, KAREN	08/18/2022	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GOLLER, CARLOS	08/18/2022	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE TEACHING PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GOMES, ALDRIN	07/01/2022	06/30/2023	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HALLOCK, HILTON	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROVOST AND PROFESSOR OF EDUCATION	Peer Review Consultant Member
HANDELSMAN, JO	09/09/2022	06/30/2024	DIRECTOR, WISCONSIN INSTITUTE FOR DISCOVERY	Peer Review Consultant Member
HANSEN, IMMO	09/09/2022	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HARRINGTON, MELISSA	06/22/2023	06/23/2023	ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT FOR RESEARCH	Peer Review Consultant Member
HARRISON-BERNARD, LISA	08/30/2021	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAUT DONAHUE, TAMMY	08/30/2021	06/30/2023	PROFESSOR OF BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING	Peer Review Consultant Member
HENDRICKSON, TRISCIA	09/14/2022	06/30/2024	DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH AND SPONSORED PROGRAMS	Peer Review Consultant Member
HILEMAN, LENA	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HOGUE, BRENDA	08/24/2021	06/30/2023	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HOKANSON, SARAH	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT AND ASSISTANT PROVOST	Peer Review Consultant Member
JEFFE, DONNA	09/09/2022	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
KIMMEL, MAREK	07/21/2021	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KORZICK, DONNA	01/07/2020	06/30/2023	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

KOS, LIDIA	02/01/2020	06/30/2023	ASSOCIATE DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
LAMBERT, WILLIAM	08/25/2021	06/30/2025	ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT FOR RESEARCH STRATEGY AND OPERATIONS	Peer Review Consultant Member
LEE, MARK	08/09/2021	06/30/2023	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LLOYD, JOYCE	08/19/2022	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR AND VICE CHAIR OF EDUCATION	Peer Review Consultant Member
LOVECLOUD, ATOM	06/15/2023	06/16/2023	DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION OUTREACH	Peer Review Consultant Member
LOVERN, PAMELA	08/19/2022	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LU, YI	10/11/2022	10/12/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LYUBCHENKO, YURI	10/11/2022	10/12/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MALDONADO-VLAAR, CARMEN	09/13/2022	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MARTINEZ, HERNAN	08/19/2022	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR AND ASSOCIATE DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
MATSIKA, SPIRIDOULA	08/22/2022	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MCFADDEN, LISA	09/14/2022	06/30/2024	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MEYERAND, MARY	06/20/2023	06/21/2023	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MORRIS, ANDREA	09/09/2022	06/30/2023	DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
McCARTHY, PUMTIWITT	08/19/2022	06/30/2024	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF CHEMISTRY	Peer Review Consultant Member
McVEY, MITCH	08/19/2022	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR OF BIOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member

Morris, Andrea	07/01/2018	06/30/2023	DIRECTOR, CAREER AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT, ROCKEFELLER UNIVERSITY	Peer Review Consultant Member
NEIVANDT, DAVID	07/19/2021	06/30/2023	ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT FOR RESEARCH AND GRADUATE STUDIES	Peer Review Consultant Member
NEWFELD, STUART	07/09/2021	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR AND FACULTY LEADER	Peer Review Consultant Member
NIEMAN, MARVIN	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
NONN, LARISA	09/09/2022	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR OF PATHOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
O'DONNELL, JAMES	08/19/2021	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
OSMAN, MAHASIN	09/03/2021	06/30/2023	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
OYAJOB, BABATUNDE	08/18/2021	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR, CELL SYSTEMS AND ANATOMY	Peer Review Consultant Member
PAPP, JEANETTE	08/20/2021	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PATEL, RAKESH	12/12/2019	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PEREIRA, FRED	02/24/2023	02/24/2023	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PIEDRAHITA, JORGE	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PILE, LORI	08/18/2022	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PING, PEIPEI	08/18/2022	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PINKETT, HEATHER	10/05/2022	10/06/2022	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PRISINZANO, THOMAS	07/28/2021	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

QUICK, QUINCY	06/15/2023	06/16/2023	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
RAYLMAN, RAYMOND	10/11/2022	10/12/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
REED, TANEA	08/24/2021	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
RICKS-SANTI, LUISEL	08/30/2021	06/30/2023	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SCHIMMENTI, LISA	02/27/2023	02/27/2023	PROFESSOR OF PEDIATRICS	Peer Review Consultant Member
SEGARRA, VERONICA	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AND ENDOWED CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SEIFU, DEREJE	06/20/2023	06/21/2023	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SIEGFRIED, KELLEEE	10/20/2022	10/21/2022	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SINCLAIR, KA'IMI	07/21/2021	06/30/2023	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
STARK, LOUISA	08/23/2022	06/30/2024	DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
STODDARD, BARRY	08/19/2021	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
SWARTZ, TALIA	06/22/2023	06/23/2023	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TESMER, JOHN	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	WALTHER DISTINGUISHED PROFESSOR IN CANCER STRUCTURAL BIOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
THOMAS, SHEILA	08/28/2021	06/30/2025	DEAN FOR ACADEMIC PROGRAMS AND DIVERSITY	Peer Review Consultant Member
VAN VACTOR, DAVID	10/05/2022	10/06/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

VASQUEZ-VIVAR, JEANNETTE	10/28/2019	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR AND ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR, MEDICAL COLLEGE OF WISCONSIN	Peer Review Consultant Member
VILLANUEVA, CLAUDIO	09/14/2022	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WATT, DANIELLE	08/19/2022	06/30/2024	DIRECTOR OF OFFICE FOR BIOMEDICAL GRADUATE RESEARCH, EDUCATION, AND TRAINING	Peer Review Consultant Member
WILSON, MELISSA	08/18/2022	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WRIGHT, MICHAEL	08/19/2022	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WUTTKE, DEBORAH	07/01/2019	06/30/2023	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
XIE, AIHUA	10/11/2022	10/12/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZHONG, XUEHUA	08/20/2021	06/30/2023	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZIEGLER, CHRISTOPHER	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 104

Narrative Description

NIH's mission is to seek fundamental knowledge about the nature and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary ...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts... This committee will be composed of recognized biomedical and behavioral

research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and/or contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations can not be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

- | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Improvements to health or safety | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Trust in government | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Major policy changes | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Advance in scientific research | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost Savings Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments which often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

3,967

Number of Recommendations Comments

Grant review

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are

favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings is subject to the Freedom of Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

An action of "approved" or "recommended" for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated **Number** of grants reviewed for approval 220

What is the estimated **Number** of grants recommended for
approval 220

What is the estimated **Dollar Value** of grants recommended for approval
\$589,138,699

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

N/A