2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: Interdisciplinary Molecular Sciences and Training Integrated Review Group

Report Run Date: 04/20/2024 11:56:21 AM

1. Department or Agency

2. Fiscal
Year

Department of Health and Human Services
2024

3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee Committee

No.

Interdisciplinary Molecular Sciences and

Training Integrated Review Group

72191

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 11/06/2009

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

No

9. Agency 10b. 10a. Legislation

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

FiscalYear Legislation Legislation Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

42 U.S.C.282 (b)(16) 11/20/1985 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Curren	t Next
	FY	FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	ድስ ሰ	<u>ሰ</u> ቀለ ለሰ
Non-Federal Members	φυ.υ	0\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	0\$0.00
Federal Members	φυ.υ	υ φυ.υυ
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	0\$0.00
Federal Staff	ψ0.0	ο ψο.οο
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.0	0\$0.00
Non-Member Consultants	ψ0.0	υ ψυ.υυ
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	0\$0.00
Non-Federal Members	ψ0.0	υ ψυ.υυ
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	0\$0.00
Federal Members	ψ0.0	υ ψυ.υυ
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	0\$0.00
Federal Staff	ψ0.0	ο ψο.οο
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	0\$0.00
Non-member Consultants	ψ0.0	ο ψο.οο
18c. Other(rents,user charges,	\$0.0	0\$0.00
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	ψ0.0	ο ψο.οο
18d. Total	\$0.0	0\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.0	0.00
(FTE)	0.0	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that "The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of – (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts." This committee will be composed of recognized biomedical and behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research funding applications and proposals, including but not limited to grant and cooperative

agreement applications and contract proposals for research projects and for research and training activities in areas relevant to biological chemistry, biophysics and cell biology, drug discovery and development, devices and detection systems, biomaterials, delivery systems and nanotechnology, computational biology, imaging and data mining, genes, genomes and genetics, environmental monitoring, and basic translational oncology. During this reporting period the committee reviewed 697 applications requesting \$984,662,789.00.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in the various disciplines and fields relating to biological chemistry, biophysics and cell biology, drug discovery and development, devices and detection systems, biomaterials, delivery systems and nanotechnology, computational biology, imaging and data mining, genes, genomes and genetics, environmental monitoring, and basic translational oncology.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee held 9 meetings during this reporting period.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee will be composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront or research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant application and contract proposals. These evaluation and recommendations cannot

be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of experience not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the committee were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. Due to the assignment of responsibilities within the Center, the roles of the committee designated federal official and committee decision maker are filled by the same individual. Drs. Forest White and Pinar Zorlutuna were reassigned to the role of chairperson during the fiscal year. Drs. Dana Spence (7/1/2019-6/30/2023) and Laura Suggs (7/1/2019-6/30/2023) were inadvertently omitted from the 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022 reports. Due to the large number of members serving on this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide additional information on Occupation or Affiliation. Additional information on an individual's affiliation may be obtained by contacting the designated federal officer listed in this report. Zip Codes: Due to the large number of members associated with this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide

individual zip codes for all members. Current individual meeting rosters, including zip codes are available online at

https://public.csr.nih.gov/IRGs#IMST. In general, initial/integrated review group (IRG) members serve up to six years, which is documented in the Members list. The permanent membership of this IRG may be supplemented at any meeting with temporary members who have experience or expertise in the disciplines and fields related to the IRG's function and are appointed to review some or all of the applications considered at that meeting. Therefore, the Members list reflects meeting dates, not appointment start and end dates for these members. While only one meeting date is listed as an appointment start and end date, an IRG temporary member may have attended several meetings throughout the fiscal year. Meeting rosters, including members' affiliations and zip codes are available online at https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/.

Designated Federal Officer

Ray Jacobson Director, Division of Basic and Integrative Biological Sciences

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
An, Hongyu	04/29/2021	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bailey, Ryan	07/20/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Beatty, Kimberly	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Burdette, Joanna	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chaumeil, Myriam	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chen, Min	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

				Daar Davieur
Chen, Weiqiang	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
De Figueiredo, Paul	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Research Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Domenech, Maribella	07/01/2021	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ebong, Eno	07/01/2022	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Evans, Conor	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Fabris, Daniele	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Fernandez -Granda, Carlos	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Fox, Peter	04/29/2021	06/30/2024	Vice Chair for Research and Research Education	Peer Review Consultant Member
Freedman, Benjamin	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Freytes, Donald	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Gerber, Scott	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Goldsmith, Randall	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Grayson, Warren	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Guo, Ying	04/29/2021	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Habeck, Christian	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Research Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hackel, Benjamin	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hall, Adam	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hammond, Ming	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Hernandez-Garcia, Luis	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Research Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Huang, Susie	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Jacob, Mathews	07/01/2023	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Jones, Lisa	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kelly, Kimberly	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Krapf, Diego	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kuceyeski, Amy	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kurt, Mehmet	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lakadamyali, Melike	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Leonard, Joshua	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Li, Lexin	08/02/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
McCain, Megan	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
McGrath, James	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Min, Wei	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Mosley, Amber	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Oz, Gulin	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Palecek, Sean	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Pitteri, Sharon	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

ROBINSON, RENA	07/01/2019	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE	Peer Review Consultant
ROBINSON, RENA	07/01/2018	00/30/2024	PROFESSOR	Member
Reinhard, Bjoern	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ruotolo, Brandon	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sanchez, Laura	07/01/2022	06/30/2028	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sant, Shilpa	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Schiavinato Eberlin, Livia	07/01/2022	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Schultz, Zachary	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Shu, Xiaokun	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Singh, Ankur	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Singh, Vikas	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
St-Pierre, Francois	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Styner, Martin	04/29/2021	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Tanifum, Eric	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ting, Angela	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wang, Lei	04/29/2021	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wang, Rong	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wang, Yalin	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wang, Yuhong	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Wang, Zhong	07/01/2022	06/30/2028	Assistant Professor	Consultant Member
White, Forest	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wu, Yu-Chien	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Yu, Yonghao	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Zinn, Kurt	08/08/2023	06/30/2027	Professor and Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Zorlutuna, Pinar	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Poor Poviou

Number of Committee Members Listed: 66

Narrative Description

NIH's mission is to seek fundamental knowledge about the nature and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary ...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts...

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		✓
Trust in government		
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		✓
Effective grant making		✓
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		

Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments NA	
What are the cost savings associated with	this committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	~
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments NIH supported basic and clinical research accounfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways	,
What is the approximate Number of recomfor the life of the committee? 5,699	mendations produced by this committee
Number of Recommendations Comments Grant Review	
What is the approximate Percentage of the will be Fully implemented by the agency?	se recommendations that have been or

% of Recommendations <u>Fully</u> Implemented Comments

0%

The mission of the Center for Scientific Review is to provide the funding Institutes and Centers of NIH with the scientific and technical merit of research grant applications submitted to the NIH. It is these Institutes or Centers and their advisory councils that

make funding decisions.NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be funded.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

The mission of the Center for Scientific Review is to provide the funding Institutes and Centers of NIH with the scientific and technical merit of research grant applications submitted to the NIH. It is these Institutes or Centers and their advisory councils that make funding decisions. NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be funded.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken t
implement recommendations or advice offered?

/	' :		
Yes 🗸	No	Not Applicable	

Agency Feedback Comments

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings is subject to the Freedom of Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at http://report.nih.gov.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

1000mmondation :	
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	
Action Comments	
An action of "approved" or "recommended" for	grants receiving initial peer review by this
committee does not infer that the grant will be	or has been funded. Research grant
applications submitted to NIH must go through	
the initial peer review for scientific and technical	•
approval by a National Advisory Council for pro	•
award or funding being made, NIH staff must o	
number of other considerations. These include review of the project budget, assessment of th	
determination of applicant eligibility, and comp	
all these steps have been completed, NIH office	
grant applications.	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of a	applications for grants?
Yes	
What is the estimated $\underline{\textbf{Number}}$ of grants review	wed for approval 697
What is the estimated $\underline{\text{Number}}$ of grants recon	nmended for
approval	697
What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants r	
	\$984,662,789
Grant Review Comments	
Grant Review	
How is access provided to the information	for the Committee's documentation?
	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	~
Online Agency Web Site	*

Online Committee Web Site	✓
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	
Other	

Access Comments

N/A