

2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Initial Review Group

Report Run Date: 04/20/2024 10:22:42 AM

1. Department or Agency	2. Fiscal Year
Department of Health and Human Services	2024
3. Committee or Subcommittee	3b. GSA Committee No.

Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Initial Review Group	926
--	-----

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	03/26/2009		

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date
No		

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?
Continue	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority	Authorized by Law		
12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Committee Type	14c. Presidential?
42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16)	11/20/1985	Continuing	No

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports for this Fiscal Year
-------------------------------------	---------------------------------

17a. Open	17b. Closed	17c. Partially Closed	Other Activities	17d. Total
0	0	0	0	0

Meetings and Dates
No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of (A) applications; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts. This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and/or contract proposals in the fields of maternal and child health, reproductive and

population sciences, intellectual and developmental disabilities, and medical rehabilitation. During this reporting period, the committee reviewed 443 applications requesting \$196,610,044.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in the various disciplines and fields relating to maternal and child health, reproductive and population sciences, intellectual and developmental disabilities, and medical rehabilitation.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee held 24 meetings during this reporting period.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available from the NIH staff or from other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the committee were closed to the

public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

Reports: This committee did not produce any reports this fiscal year. The Designated Federal Official (DFO) and Committee Decision Maker are the same individual based on assigned duties within the IC. Previous DFO retired November 2022 (Dr. Sherry Dupere). Zip Codes: Due to the large number of members associated with this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide individual zip codes for all members. Current individual meeting rosters, including zip codes are available online at <https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/>. Due to the larger number of members serving on this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide additional information on Occupation or Affiliation. Additional information on an individual's affiliation may be obtained by contacting the designated federal officer listed in this report. Members: Corrections from the FY22 ACR - Members not included or incorrect terms Joanna Buscemi 10/14/22-6/30/26 Francis Champagne 7/1/22-6/30/24; reappointment not reported and initial start date corrected to 9/9/2018 Alison Gemmill 7/1/22-6/30/24 Rachel Gordon 10/14/22-6/30/26 Louis Hagopian - term date was reported on the FY22 ACR incorrectly and has been reappointed, the correct term date is 9/4/18-6/30/24. Caroline Hamilton 7/9/22-6/30/24

Julie Irwin - 7/22/2022 term date was incorrect;
correct term 7/1/22-6/30/26 Molly Losh
7/1/22-6/30/26 Michelle Macy 10/14/22-6/30/26
Caroline Moreau 9/9/22-6/30/24 Lenore McWey
7/1/22-6/30/25 (Reappointment full term
7/1/2018-6/30/2024) Michael Merten
7/1/22-6/30/24 Amanda Morris – was inadvertently
reported on FY22 ACR. Her term was
6/16/20-6/16/20. Timothy Shahan 7/1/22-6/30/24
Lane Strathearn 7/1/22-6/30/24 Nim Tottenham
end term has been correct to 6/30/25 Erika
Werner 7/1/22-6/30/26 Names recorded
incorrectly on the FY22 ACR: Eke Ahizechukwu,
correct listing is Ahizechukwu Eke Mitchell Colter,
correct listing is Colter Mitchell Members that have
been reappointments or reassigned: Paula Alio
reappointed as Chair 7/1/2023-6/30/2024 Marcia
Castro reassigned as Chair 7/1/23-6/30/24 James
Hagadorn reappointed as Chair 7/1/23-6/30/26
Marice Harris-Hayes reassigned as Chair
7/1/23-6/30/25 Reappointed: Joe Arosh
7/1/23-6/30/25 Mostafa Borahay 7/1/23-6/30/25
Ebony Carter 7/1/23-6/30/25 Thomas Chelimsky
7/1/2023-6/30/2025 Jenean Dilworth-Bart -
Reappointed for an additional year and the start
date reported on the FY22 ACR was incorrect, the
correct term date is 9/5/2018-6/30/2024 James
Hagadorn 7/1/23-12/31/23 Carl Hunt
7/1/23-6/30/27 Elissa Jelalian 7/1/23-6/30/25 Ryan
McGinnis 7/1/23-6/30/25 Ramkumar Menon -
7/1/2023-6/30/2025 Tolu Oyesanya 7/1/23-6/30/25
Cynthia Swenson 7/1/23-6/30/25 Pablo Visonti
7/1/23-6/30/25 (actual start date corrected to
7/1/2019) Julie Washington 7/1/23-6/30/25
Jessica White 7/1/20-6/30/26 In general,
initial/integrated review group (IRG) members
serve up to six years, which is documented in the
Members list. The permanent membership of this
IRG may be supplemented at any meeting with

temporary members who have experience or expertise in the disciplines and fields related to the IRG's function and are appointed to review some or all of the applications considered at that meeting. Therefore, the Members list reflects meeting dates, not appointment start and end dates for these members. While only one meeting date is listed as an appointment start and end date, an IRG temporary member may have attended several meetings throughout the fiscal year. Meeting rosters, including members' affiliations and zip codes are available online at <https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/.ha>

Designated Federal Officer

Joanna Kubler-Kielb DIRECTOR, SCIENTIFIC
REVIEW BRANCH

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Aceros, Juan	07/01/2023	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Adamo, Susana Beatriz	07/01/2022	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Adams, Elizabeth	10/14/2022	06/30/2026	Research Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Alio, Paula	07/01/2019	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Aneja, Rajesh	07/01/2019	06/30/2024	Clinical Chief	Peer Review Consultant Member
Arosh, Joe	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Arroyo, Juan	08/29/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Axelrod, Jeffery	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor, Stanford University	Peer Review Consultant Member
Axinn, William	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bailey, Martha	07/01/2022	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Barrett, Jeffrey	12/16/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Birdie, Lamarca	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor and Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bjornson, Kristie	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Borahay, Mostafa	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Buscemi, Joanna	10/14/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Carter, Ebony	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Castro, Marcia	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Cavanagh, Shannon	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Champagne, Frances	09/09/2018	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chang, Chenbei	01/25/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chelimsky, Thomas	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chu, Diana	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chung, Jean-Ju	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Church, Kellie	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
DAVIS, DERECK	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Diamond-Smith, Nadia	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Dilworth-Bart, Janean	09/05/2018	06/30/2024	Associate Dean and Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Duncan, Francesca	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Durbin, Matthew	08/23/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Easley, Charles	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor of Medicine	Peer Review Consultant Member
Eke, Ahizechukwu	07/01/2021	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Elovitz, Michal	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Faustino, Edward	06/28/2019	06/30/2024	Professor of Pediatrics	Peer Review Consultant Member
Finley, Margaret	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Flanagan, John	01/28/2021	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Flick, Randal	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Consultant	Peer Review Consultant Member
GOODMAN, SHERRYL	07/01/2021	06/30/2024	Samuel Candler Dobbs Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Garcia-Castro, Martin	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Gemmill, Alison	07/01/2022	06/30/2024	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Gordon, Rachel	10/14/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Dean and Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Haemer, Matthew	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hagadorn, James	12/18/2020	12/31/2023	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hagopian, Louis	09/04/2018	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Haider, Steven	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor and Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hamilton, Caroline	09/09/2022	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hamilton, Tod	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Han, Joan	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hardeman, Rachel	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Harenski, Carla	07/01/2019	06/30/2024	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Harris-Hayes, Marcie	07/01/2020	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hayashi, Kanako	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Heathcock, Jill	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Holley, Scott	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor, Yale University	Peer Review Consultant Member
Holmes, James	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Honeycutt, Claire	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Huber, Kimberly	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hunt, Carl	12/10/2021	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Irwin, Julia	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Jackson, Yolanda	07/01/2021	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Jaramillo-Lambert, Aimee	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Jelalian, Elissa	07/01/2020	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Johnson, Joshua	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Jordan, Nancy	10/06/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Joyner, Kara	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor and Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member

KILLEN, MELANIE	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kalantry, Sundeep	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor, University of Michigan	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ko, Chemyong	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kohler, Hans-Peter	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Leach, Richard	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lim, Daniel	01/25/2022	06/30/2025	Professor, University of Michigan	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lin, David	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Littlejohn, Krystale	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Losh, Molly	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lynch, Courtney	07/01/2019	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Macy, Michelle	10/14/2022	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Mainigi, Monica	12/10/2021	06/30/2025	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Mariscalco, Mary	12/09/2021	06/30/2025	Professor of Pediatrics and Associate Dean for Systems Based Practice	Peer Review Consultant Member
Marlow, Florence	10/14/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor, Icahn School of Med.	Peer Review Consultant Member
Mata-Greenwood, Eugenia	07/01/2019	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Mazzoni, Esteban	07/01/2023	06/30/2026	Associate Professor, New York University	Peer Review Consultant Member

McGinnis, Ryan	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Professor and Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
McWey, Lenore	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Menon, Ramkumar	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	PROFESSOR AND DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
Merten, Michael	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Michelson, Kelly	12/10/2021	06/30/2025	Professor and Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Monk, Christopher	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Moreau, Caroline	09/09/2022	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Morrow, Melissa	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Myatt, Leslie	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Nomaguchi, Kei	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Nowak, Romana	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Oyesany, Tolu	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Patel, Anita	08/23/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Perez, Monica	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Pesch, Megan	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Petroff, Margaret	08/29/2022	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Phillippe, Mark	10/18/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Radey, Melissa	07/01/2021	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Rangel, Marcos	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Reddy, Uma	08/29/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ross, Margaret	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Roth, Elliot	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Ryckman, Kelli	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Saint Onge, Jarron	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sathya, Chethan	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Saxbe, Darby	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Scheible, Kristen	07/01/2023	06/20/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Scottoline, Brian	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Shah, Dinesh	08/29/2022	06/30/2026	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Shahan, Timothy	07/01/2022	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Silver, Robert	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Snyder, Elizabeth	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sosnoff, Jacob	07/01/2023	06/30/2025	Professo	Peer Review Consultant Member
Strathearn, Lane	07/01/2022	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Streichan, Sebastian	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor, University of California	Peer Review Consultant Member
Swenson, Cynthia	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

TUMLINSON, KATHERINE	07/01/2021	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Tang, Yi-Yuan	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Research scientist	Peer Review Consultant Member
Temple, Jeff	10/14/2022	06/30/2026	Founding Director	Peer Review Consultant Member
Tottenham, Nim	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Tucker, Carole	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Typpo, Katri	08/21/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Valentine, Gregory	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Visconti, Pablo	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Washington, Julie	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Werner, Erika	07/01/2018	06/30/2026	Professor and Chair	Peer Review Consultant Member
White, Jessica	07/08/2020	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Williams, Corrine	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Williams, Nathalie	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Witherspoon, Dawn	10/25/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Zhou, Qing	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Zimmerman, Kanecia	09/07/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 132

Narrative Description

NIH's mission is to seek fundamental knowledge about the nature

and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of --(A) applications; and (B) biomedical research and development contracts. This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and/or contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

13,111

Number of Recommendations Comments

N/A

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

Information resulting from closed initial peer review meetings is subject to the Freedom of Information Act. The public can view information on research projects funded by NIH on the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website located at <http://report.nih.gov>.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

An action of "approved" or "recommended" for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a

number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approval 443

What is the estimated Number of grants recommended for approval 443

What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for approval \$196,610,044

Grant Review Comments

Grant Review

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

N/A