2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: Vascular and Hematology Integrated Review Group

Report Run Date: 04/20/2024 10:54:41 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Health and Human

Services

3b. GSA

2024

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Committee No.

14c.

Vascular and Hematology Integrated

Review Group

1864

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected

Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 03/04/2009

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific 8c. Actual Termination Term Date

Authority

No

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

| Continue of the c

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

42 U.S.C. 282 (b)(16) 11/20/1985 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next

FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulations require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications..; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts. This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and/or contract proposals in the fields of hematological systems in normal and disease states including, but not limited to, hemoglobinopathies, thalassemias, iron and heme metabolism, erythrocyte and

granulocyte/monocyte biology, transfusion medicine, sickle cell disease, normal and abnormal hematopoieses, including stem cell biology, leukemogenesis, bone marrow transplantation, gene therapy, basic and applied aspects of blood and vascular elements associated with hemostasis thrombosis and vascular biology. During this reporting period the committee reviewed 934 applications requesting \$1,687,363,995.00

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in the fields of hematological systems in normal and disease states including, but not limited to, hemoglobinopathies, thalassemias, iron and heme metabolism, erythrocyte and granulocyte/monocyte biology, transfusion medicine, sickle cell disease, normal and abnormal hematopoieses, including stem cell biology, leukemogenesis, bone marrow transplantation, gene therapy, basic and applied aspects of blood and vascular elements associated with hemostasis thrombosis and vascular biology.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Committee held 12 meetings during this reporting period.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical

research grant applications and contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations can not be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the committee were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Section 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal property.

21. Remarks

This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. Due to the assignment of responsibilities within the Center, the roles of the committee designated federal official and committee decision maker are filled by the same individual. The following member's term ended early: Dr. Yajaira Suarez (08/30/2023). Drs. Karin E Bornfeldt, Katherine Yudeh King and Jennifer Sullivan's roles changed to chairperson during the fiscal year. Dr. Jennifer Sullivan was reappointed during the fiscal year. The following members' terms will end one year earlier: Drs. Karin Bornfeldt (6/30/2025) and Katherine King (6/30/2024). Due to the large number of members serving on this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide additional information on Occupation or Affiliation. Additional information on an individual's affiliation may be obtained by contacting the designated federal officer listed in this report. Zip Codes: Due to the large number of members associated with this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide individual zip codes for all members. Current individual meeting rosters, including zip codes, are available online at https://public.csr.nih.gov/IRGs#VH.

Designated Federal Officer

JOHN BOWERS DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF TRANSLATIONAL AND CLINICAL SCIENCES

IIIANSLATIO		CLINIC	AL SCILIV	ICLO
Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
AIKAWA, MASANORI	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review
Abe, Jun-Ichi	07/01/2021	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Addison, Daniel	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Adebiyi, Adebowale	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Agarwal, Anupriya	07/01/2022	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Arepally, Gowthami	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Arnold, Amy	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Aromolaran, Ademuyiwa	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
BALDAN, ANGEL	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Beaudin, Anna	07/01/2022	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Blanc, Lionel	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Bornfeldt, Karin	07/01/2020	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Bresnick, Emery	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member Peer Review
Byzova, Tatiana	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Consultant Member
Cai, Hua Linda	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Director of Translational Research Program	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chade, Alejandro	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Chishti, Athar	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Copp, Steven	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Cruz, Miguel	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Cuchel, Marina	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Research Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Dabertrand, Fabrice	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Di Cera, Enrico	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Alice A. Doisy Professor and Chairman	
Di Lorenzo, Annarita	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Doulatov, Sergei	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Durante, William	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Filippi, Marie-Dominique	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Fischbein, Michael	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Francis, Sharon	07/01/2023	06/30/2029	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Freeman, Kalev	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Fujise, Ken	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Glading, Angela	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant
Gleason, Rudolph	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Member Peer Review Consultant Member
Gumz, Michelle	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Hussain, Tahir	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Karumanchi, S	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Director, Renovascular Research and Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Khalil, Raouf	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Khasawneh, Fadi	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
King, Katherine	07/01/2019	06/30/2024	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kirabo, Annet	07/01/2022	06/30/2028	Assistant Professor of Medicine	Peer Review Consultant Member
Koltsova, Ekaterina	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Kuhn, Bernhard	07/01/2021	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Levitan, Irena	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Li, Deqiang	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lien, Ching-Ling	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lim, Steve	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Lu, Rong	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Majumder, Rinku	07/01/2022	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
McNamara, Coleen	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Mckinney-Freeman, Shannon	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Director of Faculty Development	Peer Review Consultant Member
Medina, Marisa	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	Associate Scientist	Peer Review Consultant Member
Mehta, Dolly	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Migliaccio, Anna Rita	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Sr. Investigator and Adjunct Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Moore IV, Joseph	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Morrison, Alan	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Assistant Professor and Attending Physician	Peer Review Consultant Member
Mosnier, Laurent	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Myers, Daniel	07/01/2019	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Neeves, Keith	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Padera, Timothy	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Padilla, Jaume	07/01/2022	06/30/2028	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Pownall, Henry	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor of Biochemistry in Medicine	Peer Review Consultant Member
RAFII, SHAHIN	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SHAPIRO, VIRGINIA	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Santhanam, Lakshmi	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Scott, Evan	07/01/2021	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Shen, Ying	07/01/2020	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Smyth, James	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Spitalnik, Steven	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Straub, Adam	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Sullivan, Jennifer	07/01/2019	06/30/2024	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Thodeti, Charles	07/01/2022	06/30/2026	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
WOLBERG, ALISA	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wainford, Richard	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Weiser-Evans, Mary	07/01/2021	06/30/2025	Professor of Medicine	Peer Review Consultant Member
Wenceslau, Camilla	07/01/2021	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Xu, Jian	07/01/2020	06/30/2024	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Yang, Bo	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
Yien, Yvette	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZHENG, X LONG	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	ROBERT B. ADAMS ENDOWED PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Zhang, Hanrui	07/01/2023	06/30/2027	Assistant Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 79

Narrative Description

NIH's mission is to seek fundamental knowledge about the nature and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary ...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of - (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts...

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if
	Applies
Improvements to health or safety	✓
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	~
Effective grant making	✓
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory	
requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments	
NA	
What are the cost savings associated with	n this committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	√
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to

unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

18,149

Number of Recommendations Comments

Grant Review

What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these recommendations that have been or will be $\underline{\text{Fully}}$ implemented by the agency?

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The mission of the Center for Scientific Review is to provide the funding Institutes and Centers of NIH with the scientific and technical merit of research grant applications submitted to the NIH. It is these Institutes or Centers and their advisory councils that make funding decisions.NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be funded.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

The mission of the Center for Scientific Review is to provide the funding Institutes and Centers of NIH with the scientific and technical merit of research grant applications submitted to the NIH. It is these Institutes or Centers and their advisory councils that make funding decisions.NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or

contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be funded.

Does the agency provide the committee with implement recommendations or advice offered Yes No Not Applicable	
Agency Feedback Comments Information resulting from closed initial peer review Information Act. The public can view information the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting http://report.nih.gov.	on research projects funded by NIH on
What other actions has the agency taken as a	result of the committee's advice or
recommendation?	
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	✓

Action Comments

Other

An action of "approved" or "recommended" for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applica	tions for grants?
Yes	
What is the estimated $\underline{\textbf{Number}}$ of grants reviewed for	approval 934
What is the estimated $\underline{\textbf{Number}}$ of grants recommended	ed for
approval	934
What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recomm	ended for approval
\$	1,687,363,995
Grant Review Comments	
Grant Review	
How is access provided to the information for the	Committee's documentation?
Chec	cked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Agency Web Site Online Committee Web Site	✓
,	
Online Committee Web Site	~
Online Committee Web Site Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site Online GSA FACA Web Site Publications	