2020 Current Fiscal Year Report: Grand Mesa Uncompangre Gunnison Resource Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 04/26/2024 02:47:23 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Agriculture 2020

3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee Committee

No.

Grand Mesa Uncompangre Gunnison

Resource Advisory Committee

73554

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected

Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 12/03/2018 12/03/2020

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific 8c. Actual Termination

FiscalYear? Term Date Authority

No

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

| Continue of the c

Merge Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment

13. 14. 14c. Effective Committee_

Authority Date Type Presidential?

SRS and Community

Self-Determination Act as

reauthorized in PL 110-343.

10/03/2008 Continuing No

PL 112-141 and as amended

Agricultural Improvement Act

of 2018 PL. 115-334

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total

Number of No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-Federal Members	Ψ0.00	, φυ.υυ
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Federal Members	Ψοίοο	φοισσ
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Federal Staff	Ψοίοο	φυ.υυ
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-Member Consultants	Ψ0.00	, φυ.υυ
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-Federal Members	Ψοίοο	φοισσ
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Federal Members	+	******
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Federal Staff	+	*
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-member Consultants	·	·
18c. Other(rents,user charges,	\$0.00	\$0.00
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)		
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.00	0.00
(FTE)		

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The purpose of a Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act resource advisory committee is to improve collaborative relations and to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service consistent with Division C, Title VI of Public Law 110-343. The Act describes the duties of the committees as follows: to review projects proposed by counties and other interested parties; to recommend

projects and funding; to provide early and continuous coordination with Forest Service officials; to provide frequent opportunities for citizens, organizations, tribes, land management agencies and other interested parties to participate openly and meaningfully, beginning in the early stages of project development; to monitor projects approved under the Act; to advise the designated federal official on the progress of monitoring; and to make recommendations for any appropriate changes or adjustments to projects being monitored.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee is comprised of 15 members, five in each of three categories prescribed in the Act. Within each category, the Act identifies specific interests members are to represent. Committees are formed in geographic areas to ensure each national forest located within a participating county or counties has access to a resource advisory committee. The Act requires members to be residents of the state or states within which the committee has jurisdiction.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee generally will meets annually as needed to perform its duties.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The RAC is necessary to improve collaborative relationships among the people that use and care for the National Forests and to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service concerning projects and funding consistent with Title II of the Act.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings are open to the public.

21. Remarks

No activity or recommendations in FY2019.

Bighorn Resource Advisory Committee – 73553,
Grand Mesa Uncompanger Gunnison Resource
Advisory Committee – 73554, Medicine Bow-Routt
Resource Advisory Committee – 73537, Pike-San
Isabel Resource Advisory Committee – 73557 and
Shoshone Resource Advisory Committee – 73561
merged to form the 0084582 - Rocky Mountain
Resource Advisory Committee

Designated Federal Officer

Chad Stewart Deputy Forest Supervisor

Narrative Description

By recommending projects that maintain and improve the health of national forest ecosystems and improve cooperative relationships among the people who use and care for the national forests, the Secure Rural Schools resource advisory committees support the Forest Service mission to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the Nation's forests and grasslands to meet the needs of present and futuer generations. The committees specifically support Forest Service strategic goals to 1. Restore, sustain and enhance the national forests; and 2. Provide and sustain benefits to the American people.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
mprovements to health or safety		✓
Trust in government		

Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	¥
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory	✓
requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments	
Not applicable.	
What are the cost savings associated w	th this committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	\(\)
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
Not applicable.	
What is the approximate Number of reco for the life of the committee?	ommendations produced by this committee
Number of Recommendations Commendations for FY2019.	rs ·
What is the approximate Percentage of twill be Fully implemented by the agency	hese recommendations that have been or?

91%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

53 of 55 projects have been fully implemented to date. One project (Grand Mesa Visitor Center Water System) will be completed in June 2018; The Gunnison NF habitat restoration project is a multi-year partner project. the allocated RAC funding for this project has been committed and spent by October 2017.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

9%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

96% of the approved projects have either been completed in FY17. 53 projects fully implemented to date; 1 projects is partially completed (October 2017) and will be completed by June 2018. The last project (habitat restoration - is a multi- year partner project. The allocated RAC funding has been committed and spent on this project by October 2017.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to
implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes 🗸	No	Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

The Forest Service (FS) Designated Federal Official regularly reports to the committee on whether recommended projects proposals are or are not approved by the FS. The DFO also reports on actions taken to implement approved projects. This may take the form of verbal reports and presentations at committee meetings, committee field trips and monitoring activities.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	~
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	✓
Other	

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of a	pplications for grants?	
Yes		
What is the estimated $\underline{\textbf{Number}}$ of grants review	ved for approval 0	
What is the estimated $\underline{\text{Number}}$ of grants recom	nmended for	
approval	0	
What is the estimated $\underline{\textbf{Dollar Value}}$ of grants re	ecommended for approval	
	\$0	
Grant Review Comments		
How is access provided to the information f	or the Committee's documentation	
	Checked if Applies	
Contact DFO	~	
Online Agency Web Site	¥	
Online Committee Web Site	X	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	¥	
Publications	¥	
Other		
Other		

Access Comments