## 2009 Current Fiscal Year Report: Federal Advisory Committee for the Expert Review of Synthesis and Assessment Product 4.3

Report Run Date: 04/20/2024 12:00:36 PM

1. Department or Agency2. Fiscal<br/>YearDepartment of Agriculture2009

3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee Committee

No.

Federal Advisory Committee for the Expert

Review of Synthesis and Assessment Product 31918

4.3

4. Is this New During 5. Current6. Expected7. ExpectedFiscal Year?CharterRenewal DateTerm DateNo03/08/200703/08/200905/27/2009

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific 8c. Actual Termination Authority 8c. Actual

Yes Charter 10/01/2008

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next FiscalYear

10a. Legislation Legislation Pending?

No Enacted

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee

Authority Date Type Presidential?

Agency 03/08/2007 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total Number of

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

**Meetings and Dates** 

No Meetings

	<b>Current Next</b>	
	FY	FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	<b>ድ</b> ስ /	20.00
Non-Federal Members	Φ0.0	00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	<b>ድ</b> በ /	00 02 00
Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00	
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00\$0.00	
Federal Staff		
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00\$0.00	
Non-Member Consultants		
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00 02 0C
Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00	
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00 02 0C
Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00	
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00\$0.00	
Federal Staff		
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00\$0.00	
Non-member Consultants		
18c. Other(rents,user charges,	\$0.0	00\$0.00
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	ψ0.	λο ψο.οο
18d. Total	\$0.00\$0.00	
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.4	00.00
(FTE)	0.0	0.00

### 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

CERSAP provides advice to the Secretary of Agriculture on the conduct of the study titled The Effects of Climate Change on Agriculture, Land Resources, Water Resources, and Biodiversity, being conducted as part of the U.S. Climate Change Science Program. CERSAP provides advice on the specific issues to be addressed, appropriate technical approaches, the nature of information relevant to decision makers, the content of the final report, compliance with the Information Quality Act, and other matters important to the successful achievement of the

study objectives. CERSAP generates a list of comments and suggestions to increase the merit of SAP 4.3. After SAP 4.3's authors have responded to those comments, CERSAP will review those responses to ensure that their review comments have been adequately considered and addressed. The duties of the CERSAP are solely advisory in nature.

### 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

As required by the OMB's Information Quality Act Guidelines and the USDA's Peer Review Implementation Guidelines, the selection of CERSAP members was based upon their demonstrated expertise in the ecosystems and ecosystem services related to agriculture, land resources, water resources, and biodiversity, which comprise SAP 4.3. Advertising for membership was conducted widely, inculding an announcement in the Federal Register and through USDA and report websites. All applicants to the CERSAP were appointed.

### 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Estimated Number of Meetings per Year - 1 Estimated Total Meetings - 2

### 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

Through the U.S. Climate Change Science
Program (CCSP), chaired by the U.S. Department
of Commerce, twenty-one national peer-reviewed
Synthesis and Assessment Products (SAPs) will
be completed to assess global climate change, as
required within the 1990 Global Change Research
Act (GCRA). The U.S. Department of Agriculture
(USDA) is the lead Government agency for a SAP

entitled The Effects of Climate Change on Agriculture, Land Resources, Water Resources, and Biodiversity, with the USDA Global Change Program Office coordinating the assessment. The GCRA requires that an assessment of the impacts of climate change be conducted every four years. Each SAP is designated under OMB's Information Quality Act Guidelines as a Highly Influential Scientific Assessment. Among the requirements for the production of this report is that it undergo review by an independent body of subject area experts. The report review constitutes de facto advice to the Department because the reviewers will make a recommendation to the Government regarding the report's acceptance. As such, it was necessary to form this expert review panel through a committee formed under the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

# 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

#### 21. Remarks

By charter, the Committee for the Expert Review of Synthesis and Assessment Product 4.3 (CERSAP) expired upon final publication of the report. The report was published on May 27, 2008. Therefore, the CERSAP expired at that time. No renewal will be sought.

### **Designated Federal Officer**

Margaret Walsh Ecologist

#### **Narrative Description**

CERSAP was established in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) to support the USDA in its

participation in the interagency U.S. Climate Change Science Program, specifically in implementation of Synthesis and Assessment Product 4.3 (SAP 4.3), The Effects of Climate Change on Agriculture, Land Resources, Water Resources, and Biodiversity. The Secretary of Agriculture determined that CERSAP is in the public interest and will support USDA in performing its duties and responsibilities.

### What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if

	Applies
Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	✓
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	✓
Effective grant making	✓
Improved service delivery	✓
Increased customer satisfaction	✓
Implementation of laws or regulatory	✓
requirements	CM.
Other	
Outcome Comments NA	
What are the cost savings associated wi	th this committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

#### **Cost Savings Comments**

NA

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

1

#### **Number of Recommendations Comments**

Committee made comments on individual aspects of SAP 4-3 to the report's authors. Those comments numbered in the hundreds. They advised regarding the disposition of the end report.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

100%

#### % of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

All comments generated by CERSAP were considered by SAP 4.3's author team. Those identified as improvements to the report that were implemented. An explanation was given as to non-implementation when it was not possible to do so, and was approved by the CERSAP.

What is the approximate  $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$  of these recommendations that have been or will be  $\underline{\text{Partially}}$  implemented by the agency?

### % of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

All comments generated by CERSAP were considered by SAP 4.3's author team. Improvements to the report were incorporated. Explanation was given in all other cases, with CERSAP approval.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes 🗸	No 🗔	Not Applicable
162	110	Not Applicable

### **Agency Feedback Comments**

The committee received a full listing of their comments and all detailed reponses made to the report.

### recommendation? Checked if Applies Reorganized Priorities Reallocated resources Issued new regulation Proposed legislation Approved grants or other payments Other **Action Comments** NA Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants? No **Grant Review Comments** NA How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation? Checked if Applies Contact DFO Online Agency Web Site Online Committee Web Site Online GSA FACA Web Site **Publications**

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or

#### **Access Comments**

Other

DFO Contact Info is given in all Federal Register notices and on all applicable websites. Agency Website: http://www.usda.gov/oce/global\_change/index.htmReport Website: http://www.sap43.ucar.edu/Publication of SAP 4.3 is anticipated both online and in print following CCSP and NSTC clearance processes.