### 2005 Current Fiscal Year Report: Aeronautical Technologies Strategic Roadmap Committee

Report Run Date: 04/26/2024 07:37:01 PM

1. Department or Agency				2. Fiscal Year		
National Aeronautics and Space Administration				2005		
3. Committee or Subcommittee					3b. GSA Committee No.	
Aeronautical Technologies Strategic Roadmap Committee				:	24631	
4. Is this New During 5. Current				pected	7. Expected	
Fiscal Year? Char		rter Renewal Date		ewal Date	Term Date	
Yes	12/2	3/2004 12	2/2:	3/2006	03/23/2006	
8a. Was Terminated		8b. Specific			8c. Actual	
During FiscalYear?		Termination Authority			v Term Date	
		NASA Administrator				
		Letter to Congress, 4/28/05			05/22/2005	
9. Agency 10a. Legislation F			tion Rea t	10b.		
Recommendation for	or	Terminat			Legislation	
Next FiscalYear		lonnat	•.		Pending?	
Terminate						
11. Establishment A	uthor	ity Ageno	су /	•		
12. Specific Establishment Authority		13. Effectiv Date	e	14. Commite Type	14c. e Presidential?	
NASA Administrator Letter to Congress, 12/23/04		12/23/2004 Ad hoc		Ad hoc	No	
15. Description of Committee Other Committee						
16a. TotalNo Reports forNumber ofthis FiscalYearReports						
17a. 1 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 1 Open						
Meetings and Dates						
<b>Purpose</b> Develop NASA Aeronautical T Strategic Road Map	echnolo	Start gies 03/07/	200	<b>End</b> 5 - 03/08/2005		

#### **Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1**

	Current FY Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$95,064.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$143,252.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$2,984.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$1,681.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$951.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00 \$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$74,768.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$318,700.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	1.00 0.00

# 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The committee met once to review NASA's goals and objectives in aeronautics R&D. It also reviewed NASA's program structures, portfolio management approach as well as its partnership strategies to meet the agency's goals in aeronautics.

# 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee was balanced in three parts. The

first part included key operators and users of the system: airlines, pilots, and cago operators. The second included key research and development organizations: industry, academia, and other related federal government agencies. The third level included the public in terms of both passengers and neighbors to the national infrastructure. The third part was represented by a local Airport Authority which serves as a primary interface to the public and must operate, plans, & balance the needs of residents, travelers and operators on a daily basis.

## 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Estimated Number of Meetings per Year - 4. Unfortunately, due to scheduling conflicts for the co-chairs, only one meeting was actually conducted prior to termination of the committee.

## 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This type of committee is necessary due to the size and complexity of the National Airspace System. Developing an effective agency strategy requires fitting into a national plan to modernize our air travel to meet the growth, safety, environment, and security requirements for today and the future. A plan on this scale requires broad and open public participation so that the planning can take into account the diverse requirements and issues involved in public air travel.

**20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?** Not Applicable.

#### 21. Remarks

### **Designated Federal Officer**

### Yuri O Gawdiak Program Integration Manager

		ogramm	logradion h	lanagoi
Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Friedl, Randall	02/16/2005	05/22/2005	NASA, JPL	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Frisbie, Frank	02/16/2005	05/22/2005	APPTIS	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Golaszewski, Richard	02/16/2005	05/22/2005	GRA, Inc.	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hertz, Terry	02/16/2005	05/22/2005	NASA	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Jamieson, James	02/16/2005	05/22/2005	Boeing	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Lebegern, William	02/16/2005	05/22/2005	Metropolitan Washington Airport Authority	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Levenson, Nancy	02/16/2005	05/22/2005	MIT	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
O'Brien, John	02/16/2005	05/22/2005	Air Line Pilots Association	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Rodgers, Stuart	02/16/2005	05/22/2005	AFRL/XPA	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Sabatini, Nick	02/16/2005	05/22/2005	FAA	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Wall, Roger	02/16/2005	05/22/2005	FEDEX	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Weisshaar, Terry	02/16/2005	05/22/2005	DARPA	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

#### **Narrative Description**

The committee reviewed the Aeronautics R&D investment strategies that NASA had developed with its partners and investigated priorities and options on how to improve them. Given the complex nature of the National Air Space the committee brought together a broad set of representatives from industry, academia, and local & federal government to help fully flesh out the socio/economic implications of the investment/roadmap strategies.

## What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if

#### **Outcome Comments**

NA

#### What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

 Checked if Applies

 None

 Unable to Determine

 Under \$100,000

 \$100,000 - \$500,000

 \$500,001 - \$1,000,000

 \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000

\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 Over \$10,000,000 Cost Savings Other

Cost Savings Comments NA

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

#### Number of Recommendations Comments

This committee did not complete a roadmap and therefore was unable to provide recommendations because of the schedule conflicts.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations <u>Fully</u> Implemented Comments NA

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemented Comments NA

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Yot Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

**Checked if Applies** 

Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	

## Action Comments

NA

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants? No

### Grant Review Comments

NA

### How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	~
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	
Other	

#### **Access Comments**

NA