2004 Current Fiscal Year Report: Garrison Diversion Unit Federal Advisory Council

Report Run Date: 04/20/2024 04:12:38 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of the Interior 2004

3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Committee No.

Garrison Diversion Unit Federal

Advisory Council

511

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date No 06/24/1999 06/24/2001 01/29/2001

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

Yes P.L. 99-294 06/24/1999

9. Agency 10b.

Terminate Yes Pending

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

P.L. 99-294 05/12/1986 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory

Board

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next

FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

no meetings FY2004The Committee serves in an advisory capacity. In the past, its recommendations to the managing agencies pertaining to mitigation, enhancement and other fish and wildlife programs for the Garrison Diversion Unit Project have been well received and implemented. The Garrison Diversion Unit Reformulation Act of 1986, PL 99-294, directs the Secretary of the Interior to acquire up to 5,000 acres in the Kraft Slough and Pickell Slough areas, because of their unique wildlife values, and to manage those acres as a part of the National Wildlife Refuge System. During this reporting period, the managing agencies continue to pursue opportunities for the land acquisition.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Membership of the Council is balanced in accordance with requirements of the Charter. The legislation that established the Council, requires that the Incumbents of the following four positions, serve on the Council: (1) Regional Director, FWS: (2) Reigonal Director, Bureau of Reclamation;(3) Director of the North Dakota Game and Fish Department; (4) Commissioner, North Dakota Department of Agriculture. Additionally, three members from within the Statea of North Dakota and three members from outside the State of North Dakota serve on the Council.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Council is scheduled to meet twice yearly or more frequently at the call of the Chairperson. Controversy pertaining to the project, prior to the passage of the 1986, Garrison Diversion Unit Reformulation Act, was so extensive that it severly impacted most Fish and Wildlife Service programs in North Dakota. The Council did not meet during FY 04, while awaiting appointment/reappointment of members and renewal of the Charter. It is anticipated that there will be no meetings in FY 05.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is needed to provide oversight for the Garrison Diversion Unit's mitigation, enhancement and other fish and wildlife programs associated with the project. Prior to the passage of the Garrison Diversion Unit Reformulation Act of 1986, that established the Council, there was significant, controvery in the State associated with wetland, waterfowl and mitigation issues. A lot of progress has been made regarding these issues. The Council has been effective by studying the issues, making recommendations to the managing agencies and, to date, there has been no necessity for elevating needed changes to the Secretary of the Interior and Governor of North Dakota for resolution.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Not Applicable - all meetings are open to the public

21. Remarks

Terms for members have expired. Nominations for members have not been received from outside entities. In coordination with partners on the Council (Bureau of Reclamation, North Dakota Department of Agriculture, and North Dakota Game and Fish, it is recommended that the Council be terminated.

Designated Federal Officer

Ralph Morgenweck Regional Director, FWS, Region 6

Narrative Description

The Committee supports mitigation, enhancement, and other fish and wildlife service programs relative to water diversion activities by the Garrison Diversion Unit. Partnerships with the Bureau of Reclamation and North Dakota Fish and Game have been strengthened by this committee by providing a forum to resolve conflicts, develop common objectives, and work together to meet those objectives.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		
Trust in government		
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		
Effective grant making		
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		
Implementation of laws or regulatory	✓	
requirements	₹ #.i	
Other		
Outcome Comments		
NA		
What are the cost savings associated with thi	is committee?	
	Checked if Applies	
None		
Unable to Determine	\checkmark	
Under \$100,000		
\$100,000 - \$500,000		
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000		
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000		
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000		
Over \$10,000,000		
Cost Savings Other		
Cost Savings Comments		
The Committee did not meet in FY 2004, pending	g reauthorization of the charter and	
nomination of new members, so no cost savings were associated with this committee		
during that time period.		

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee

Number of Recommendations Comments

for the life of the committee?

0

The Committee did not meet in FY 2004. What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency? 0% % of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments The Committee did not meet in FY 2004. What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency? 0% % of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments The Committee did not meet in FY 2004. Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered? Yes ✓ No Not Applicable **Agency Feedback Comments** NA What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation? Checked if Applies Reorganized Priorities

Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities

Reallocated resources
Issued new regulation
Proposed legislation

Approved grants or other payments

Other

Action Comments

The last time the committee met was in 1999. The meeting was informational, and there was agreement by the committee members to continue with projects to mitigate and enhance fish and wildlife resources relative to Garrison Diversion Unit activities. Agencies continue to carry out these activities. The Audubon (refuge) mitigation project is scheduled for

completion in FY 07, and mitigation for State Wildlife of the last tract to mitigate habitat losses that occurr Management Area.	·
Is the Committee engaged in the review of application No	cations for grants?
Grant Review Comments	
NA	
How is access provided to the information for th	e Committee's documentation?
Ch	ecked if Applies
Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site Online GSA FACA Web Site	

Access Comments

NA