

2004 Current Fiscal Year Report: Panel to Review Sexual Misconduct Allegations at the United States Air Force Academy

Report Run Date: 04/25/2024 12:12:05 PM

1. Department or Agency		2. Fiscal Year	
Department of Defense		2004	
3. Committee or Subcommittee		3b. GSA Committee No.	
Panel to Review Sexual Misconduct Allegations at the United States Air Force Academy		16586	
4. Is this New Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	05/30/2003	05/30/2005	05/30/2005
8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?	8b. Specific Termination Authority		8c. Actual Term Date
Yes			12/31/2003
9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?		10b. Legislation Pending?
Terminate	No		
11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)			
12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Committee Type	14c. Presidential?
Public Law 108-11		Ad hoc	No
15. Description of Committee Other Committee			
16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports for this Fiscal Year		
17a. Open	17b. Closed	17c. Partially Closed	17d. Total
0	0	0	0
Meetings and Dates			
No Meetings			

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$13,000.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$50,000.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$63,000.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.10	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The panel carried out a study of the policies, management and organizational practices, and cultural elements of the United States Air Force Academy that were conducive to allowing sexual misconduct at the United States Air Force Academy. The panel reviewed the actions taken by the US Air Force Academy personnel and other Department of the Air Force officials in response to allegations of sexual assaults at the Air Force Academy; reviewed directives issued by the US Air Force pertaining to sexual misconduct at the US Air Force Academy; review the effectiveness

of the process, procedures, and policies used at the Academy to respond to allegations of sexual misconduct; reviewed the relationship between the command climate for women at the Academy, and circumstances that resulted in sexual misconduct; reviewed, evaluated, and assessed such other matters and materials appropriate for the study; and reviewed and incorporated, as appropriate, the findings of ongoing studies being conducted by the Air Force General Counsel and Inspector General.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The law states that the panel shall be composed of 7 members, appointed by the Secretary of Defense from among private United States citizens who have expertise in behavioral and psychological sciences and standards and practices relating to proper treatment of sexual assault victims, as well as the United States military academies. Nearly 90 names were considered for appointment, and the selected members represent a cross-section of expertise and points of view.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Panel held three publicly noticed meetings.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Panel was established by law to conduct a study independent of any other DoD studies. The panel reported its findings, conclusions, and recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and the Chairmen of the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives on 9/22/03, 90 days after its first

meeting. Public Law 108-11, Emergency Wartime Supplemental Appropriations Act, April 2003, directed the Panel be established.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

To protect individual privacy.

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

Sheila Earle Acting Director, Military Personnel Policy, OUSD (Personnel and Readiness)

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Bunting, Josiah	06/23/2003	12/31/2003	Superintendent of the Virginia Military Institute	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Carpenter, Anita	07/01/2003	12/31/2003	INCASA Sexual Assault Victim Advocate	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Fowler, Tillie	06/23/2003	12/31/2003	Attorney, Holland & Knight Law Firm	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Miller, Laura	06/23/2003	12/31/2003	Social Scientist, Rand Corp	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Nardotti, Michael	06/23/2003	12/31/2003	Attorney, Patton, Boggs, LLP	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Ripley, John	06/23/2003	12/31/2003	Director, Marine Corps History Center and Museum	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Satel, Sally	06/23/2003	12/31/2003	Psychiatrist, Oasis Drug Treatment Center	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 7

Narrative Description

The panel reported its findings, conclusions, and recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and the Chairmen of the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives on 9/22/03, 90 days after its first meeting.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

- | | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Improvements to health or safety | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Trust in government | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Major policy changes | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Advance in scientific research | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| None | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost Savings Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Comments

NA

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

0

Number of Recommendations Comments

NA

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NA

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NA

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

NA

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

NA

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO



Online Agency Web Site



Online Committee Web Site



Online GSA FACA Web Site



Publications



Other



Access Comments

NA