2003 Current Fiscal Year Report: Worker Advocacy Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 04/27/2024 03:48:21 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Energy 2003

3b. GSA Committee
3. Committee or Subcommittee

No.

Worker Advocacy Advisory

Committee 9554

4 la 4hia Nave

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date No 01/02/2001 01/02/2003 01/02/2003

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific 8c. Actual Termination

FiscalYear? Term Date

Authority

Yes Agency Authority 01/02/2003

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

| Continue of the c

Terminate No

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Commitee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

Agency Memorandum 09/26/2000 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory

Board

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

1 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 1

Meetings and Dates

 Purpose
 Start
 End

 Program Status Update
 10/21/2002
 - 10/21/2002

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

Current Next

FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$15,729.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents, user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$12,000.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$27,729.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.50 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Worker Advocacy Advisory Committee (WAAC) accomplishes its purpose in accordance with the guidelines in the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the General Services Administration's regulation on the operation of Federal Advisory Committees, and on DOE M 510.1-1, the Advisory Committee Management Program. The WAAC holds public meetings and follows an agenda focused on its charter, the operation of the Office of Worker Advocacy (OWA). The Committee regularly receives testimony in the form of status reports from the Director of the Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Worker Advocacy, as well as from representatives from the Departments of Labor;

Health & Human Services; and Justice, all of whom administer various provisions of the Energy **Employees Occupational Illness Compensation** Program Act of 2000 (EEOICPA). Each Committee meeting includes a Public Comment period, during which members of the public express their views on the implementation of the EEOICPA. In the course of its meetings, the Committee has provided advice and guidance to DOE on the operation of its EEOICPA program and has made a number of specific recommendations through letters to the Secretary of Energy and to the Assistant Secretary for Environment, Safety and Health. The recommendations in these letters have been the subject of much discussion during Committee meetings over the past year, and OWA has implemented many of those recommendations.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Worker Advocacy Advisory Committee membership is balanced in accordance with statutory and regulatory criteria, including consideration of geographic regions of the country, minority groups, and public and private institutions, as well as the public at large. The committee members represent State workers' compensation agencies, labor unions, workers, communities, and medical and law professionals.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Worker Advocacy Advisory Committee met once during FY 2003 (on October 21, 2002, in Washington, DC). The Committee meetings have been very relevant to the Committee's charter and the operation of the Office of Worker Advocacy.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

At the time the WAAC was chartered, the Office of Worker Advocacy (OWA) was a newly established entity within the Department of Energy (DOE). There was no other office like it at DOE, and while the staff members of OWA understood the issues associated with this program, most of them did not have experience in running a complex compensation benefits program. DOE was required by statute to have the program up and running within less than nine months from the date the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Act was signed. The Worker Advocacy Advisory Committee members bring to the Department a wealth of knowledge about State workers' compensation law and programs, as well as the various occupational illnesses that are the focus of this program. Their advice and assistance have been invaluable in the establishment of this important program.OWA has determined that, with the physician panels now in operation, our needs for advice are changing, and that we can obtain additional advice and information from other sources. We are working with the American College of Environmental and Occupational Medicine and other professional organizations, to determine the best ways to manage our physician panels and facilitate the disposition of requests for assistance in filing for State Workers' Compensation benefits.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? None of the Worker Advocacy Advisory

None of the Worker Advocacy Advisory Committee meetings have been closed.

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

Judith M. Keating Executive Administrator

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Blea, Ricky	01/04/2001	01/04/2004	United Association of Journeymen and Apprentices of the Plumbing and Pipefitting Industry	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member Special
Boden, Leslie	01/04/2001	01/04/2003	Boston University	Government Employee (SGE) Member
Burton, John	01/04/2001	01/04/2003	Rutgers University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Cisco, Jeanne	01/19/2001	01/19/2004	Portsmouth Uranium Enrichment Plant	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Elisburg, Donald	01/19/2001	01/19/2004	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Ellenberger, James	01/04/2001	01/04/2004	Virginia Employment Commission	Special Government
Hatfield, Vikki	01/04/2001	01/04/2003	Community Representative	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Markowitz, Steven	01/04/2001	01/04/2004	Queens College	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Martinez, Len	03/28/2001	03/28/2004	Kaiser-Hill, LLC	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Meyers, Bernard	03/28/2001	03/28/2004	Bechtel Corporation	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Mueller, Kathryn	01/04/2001	01/04/2003	State of Colorado	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Post, Iris	01/04/2001	01/04/2004	Lawyer	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Shor, Glenn	01/04/2001	01/04/2003	State of California	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Spieler, Emily	01/04/2001	01/04/2004	Northeastern University School of Law	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Wagner, Gregory	01/04/2001	01/04/2003	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health	
Welch, Laura	01/04/2001	01/04/2003	The Washington Hospital Center	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 16

Narrative Description

NA

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		
Trust in government		
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		
Effective grant making		
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		

Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments NA	
What are the cost savings associated with	this committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments NA	
What is the approximate Number of recomfor the life of the committee?	nmendations produced by this committee
Number of Recommendations Comments NA	
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of the will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?	ese recommendations that have been or
% of Recommendations Fully Implemented NA	d Comments

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

% of Recommendations Partially Implem NA	nented Comments
Does the agency provide the committee implement recommendations or advice Yes No Not Applicable	with feedback regarding actions taken to offered?
Agency Feedback Comments NA	
What other actions has the agency take recommendation?	n as a result of the committee's advice or
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	
Action Comments NA	
Is the Committee engaged in the review No	of applications for grants?
Grant Review Comments NA	
How is access provided to the informati	on for the Committee's documentation?
Contract DEC	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO Online Agency Web Site	⊻
Online Agency Web Site	≪
Online Committee Web Site	<u></u>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	 .
Publications	

Other			

Access Comments

NA