2002 Current Fiscal Year Report: Missouri Recreational River Advisory Group

Report Run Date: 04/17/2024 03:01:42 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of the Interior 2002

3b. GSA Committee
3. Committee or Subcommittee

No.

Missouri Recreational River Advisory

Group 240

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 01/27/1993 01/27/1995 05/24/2001

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

P.L. 102-50 (Section

Yes 05/24/2001

6)

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

TiscalYear 10a. Legislation Legislation Req to Terminate?

Pending?

Terminate Yes Enacted

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee Presidential?

Authority Date Type

P.L. 102-50 (Section 6) 05/24/1991 Ad hoc No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory

Board

16a. Total

Number of this FiscalYear

Reports

17a. 1 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 1

Open

Meetings and Dates

 Purpose
 Start
 End

 Not Listed for Annual Report
 08/02/2002
 - 08/02/2002

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

	Current Next		
	FY	FY	
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	ድ ስ (00 \$0.00	`
Non-Federal Members	φυ.(.υφυ.υc	J
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	ድ ስ (00 \$0.00	1
Federal Members	φυ.(<i>ο</i> φυ.υς	J
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	ድ ስ (00 \$0.00	1
Federal Staff	φυ.(<i>ο</i> φυ.υς	,
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	ድብ (00 \$0.00	1
Non-Member Consultants	ψ0.0	λο ψο.ος	,
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.0	00 \$0.00	1
Non-Federal Members	ψ0.0	λο ψο.ος	,
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	ድስ (00 \$0.00	1
Federal Members	ψ0.0	λο ψο.ος	,
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	ድስ (00 \$0.00	1
Federal Staff	ψ0.0	λο ψο.ος	,
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	ድስ (00 \$0.00	1
Non-member Consultants	ψ0.0	λο ψο.ος	,
18c. Other(rents,user charges,	ድስ (00 \$0.00	1
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	ψ0.0	λο ψο.ος	,
18d. Total	\$0.0	00\$0.00)
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.0	0.00	1
(FTE)	0.0	JU U.UC	J

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

THIS COMMITTEE IS TERMINATED.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

A total of 13 members were appointed from the counties, states, Federal agencies, and Indian Tribes affected by the designation of the Missouri National Recreational River. The members represented a broad cross-section of the public interested in the long-term management of the Missouri National Recreational Area.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

No meetings were held in fiscal years 2000 and 2001.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Missouri Recreational River Advisory Group represented the issues and concerns of their communities, providing critical input necessary to the decision-making process. The public meetings have provided an open forum for discussion with community members.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings were open.

21. Remarks

The Missouri Recreational River Advisory group did not meet in 2000 and 2001. This group expired in May 2001, having served its advisory purposes during the creation of the General Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement for the 39-Mile District of this park.

Designated Federal Officer

William W. Schenk, Regional Director, Midwest Region, National Park Service

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Bolig, Herb	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	US Fish and Wildlife Service	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Butler, Paula	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Yankton Sioux Tribe	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Denny, Jr., Arthur	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Santte Sioux Tribe	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Grimm, Jan	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Boyd County, Nebraska	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hermanek, Richard	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Bon Homme County, South Dakota	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hofer, Douglas	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Governors Representative (South Dakota)	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kreycik, Kenard	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Knox County Nebraska	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Laberee, Lyle	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Charles Mix County, South Dakota	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Swanson, Rayder	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Governor's Representative (Nebraska)	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Thomas, John	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Knox County, Nebraska	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Thuss, Michael	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Colonel, US Army Corps of Engineers	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Uhlir, Ardin	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	Lower Niobrara Natural Resource District	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Van Osdel, Bennett	01/15/1995	01/15/1997	South Dakota District III, Planning District	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 13

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety

Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory	
requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments	
What are the cost savings associated with the	nis committee?
_	Checked if Applies
None	~
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
What is the approximate Number of recomm for the life of the committee?	endations produced by this committee
Number of Recommendations Comments	
What is the approximate Percentage of these	e recommendations that have been or

will be $\underline{\text{Fully}}$ implemented by the agency?

% of Recommendations $\underline{\textbf{Fully}}$ Implemented Comments

What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these will be $\underline{\text{Partially}}$ implemented by the agency? %	recommendations that have been or
% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemente	d Comments
Does the agency provide the committee with implement recommendations or advice offered Yes No Not Applicable	• •
Agency Feedback Comments	
What other actions has the agency taken as a recommendation?	
B	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	
Action Comments	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of ap	plications for grants?
Grant Review Comments	

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	
Other	

Access Comments