2001 Current Fiscal Year Report: President's Security Policy Advisory

Board

Report Run Date: 04/26/2024 07:02:12 AM

			2. Fiscal Year 2001		
3. Committee or Subcommittee			3b. GSA Committee No.		
President's Security Policy Advisory Board				5134	
4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected				ted	7. Expected
Fiscal Year?	Cha	rter R	enewal	Date	Term Date
No	05/0	9/2000 0	5/09/20	02	05/09/2002
8a. Was Terminat FiscalYear?	ed Durii	8b. Sp ng Termi Autho	nation		8c. Actual Term Date
Yes		Directi	ve NSC	-20	03/01/2001
9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear				10b. Legislation Pending?	
Terminate		No			
11. Establishmen	t Author	rity Presi	dential		
12. Specific	1	13.	14.		14c.
Establishment	E	Effective	Com	mitee	Presidential?
Authority	[Date	Туре	•	Fiesidential:
Directive NSC-20	(09/16/199	4 Cont	inuing	Yes
15. Description o	f Commi	ittee Nati	ional Po	licy Iss	sue Advisory
Board					
16a. TotalNo Reports forNumber ofthis FiscalYearReports					
17a. 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open					
Meetings and Dat No Meetings	es				
			•		

Current Next

FY

FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00 0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The committee's design was to provide a forum for public discussion of security policy. In particular government contractors maintained an obvious interest in such policy and were a primary target of the committee's endeavors. The mechanism of communication was quarterly meetings held in various localities throughout the country. The committee had a major impact in communicating to the Executive Branch the depth of community feeling (negative) with respect to the backlog of personnel security investigations. The committee was also been a propulsive force in the establishment of a community wide data base for security clearance information.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee had four diverse members, all of whom were currently involved in the private sector. All four also previously enjoyed government careers and, as such, bring to the table a dual perspective and a welcome sense of proportion.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee did not meet this fiscal year.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The committee's input was unique in that it represented an ever present insitutional presence--a kind of bureaucratic balance which can only be accomplished with a permanent presence.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? No meetings were held this fiscal year.

21. Remarks

This committee was terminated on March 1, 2001. The committee was inactive in FY-01.

Designated Federal Officer

Willard J Isaacs Staff Officer, Security Policy

Board Staff

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Bilbray, James	12/15/2000	03/01/2001		Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Brooks, Thomas	03/01/1995	03/01/2001	AT&T	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Steward,			Harrison	Special Government
Nina	03/01/1995	03/01/2001	and	Employee (SGE)
INITIA			Associates	Member
			Institute for	Special Government
Welch, Larry	03/01/1995	03/01/2001	Defense	Employee (SGE)
			Analysis	Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 4

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if
	Applies
Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory	
requirements	
Other	

Outcome Comments

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	\checkmark
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	

Cost Savings Other

Cost Savings Comments

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

Number of Recommendations Comments

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency? %

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency? %

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes No Not Applicable

Agency Feedback Comments

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	

Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants? No

Grant Review Comments

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Contact DFO Contact DFO Contact DFO Conline Agency Web Site Conline Committee Web Site Conline GSA FACA Web Site Conline G

Access Comments