2001 Current Fiscal Year Report: Bay-Delta Advisory Council

Report Run Date: 04/17/2024 06:52:42 AM

2. Fiscal Year 1. Department or Agency

Department of the Interior 2001

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

Bay-Delta Advisory Council 1992

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date **Term Date** Nο 07/01/1999 07/01/2001 07/01/2001

8b. Specific 8a. Was Terminated During

8c. Actual **Termination** FiscalYear? **Term Date**

Authority

Yes NA 06/01/2001

9. Agency 10b. 10a. Legislation

Recommendation for Next Legislation Reg to Terminate? **FiscalYear** Pending?

Terminate No

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

14. 13. 12. Specific 14c. **Effective Committee**

Presidential? **Establishment Authority** Date Type

Memo of Understanding:

09/01/1994 Continuing No

Framework Agreement

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory

Board

16a. Total

No Reports for Number of this FiscalYear

Reports

3 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 3

Meetings and Dates

Purpose Start End 10/02/2000 - 10/02/2000 Ecosystem Roundtable Ecosystem Roundtable 11/02/2000 - 11/02/2000 Bay-Delta Advisory Council 11/15/2000 - 11/15/2000

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 3

Current FY Next FY

18a(1). Personnel		
Pmts to Non-Federal	\$0.00	\$0.00
Members		
18a(2). Personnel		
Pmts to Federal	\$6,000.00	\$6,000.00
Members		
18a(3). Personnel	\$25,000.00	<u></u>
Pmts to Federal Staff	\$25,000.00	φ25,000.00
18a(4). Personnel		
Pmts to Non-Member	\$127,000.00	\$127,000.00
Consultants		
18b(1). Travel and Per		
Diem to Non-Federal	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00
Members		
18b(2). Travel and Per		
Diem to Federal	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00
Members		
18b(3). Travel and Per	# 0.000.00	
Diem to Federal Staff	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00
18b(4). Travel and Per		
Diem to Non-member	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00
Consultants		
18c. Other(rents,user		
charges, graphics,	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00
printing, mail, etc.)		
18d. Total	\$241,000.00	\$241,000.00
19. Federal Staff		
Support Years (FTE)	1.00	1.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Bay-Delta Advisory Council (BDAC) was established to provide advice to State and Federal agencies committed to developing a long-term solution with respect to environmental protection and water supply dependability in the San Francisco Bay, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary and its watershed (Bay-Delta Estuary) in the State of California. Over the last 5 years the

Council has advised the agencies on what should be contained in the solution. In 2000 their advice culminated in a 10-page recommendation on the CALFED solution from Chair Mike Madigan and Vice Chair Sunne McPeak to Deputy Secretary David Hayes and California Secretary for Resources Mary Nichols. The solution, embodied in a long-term plan addressed many issues raised by the Council and was approved by the agencies in the form of the Record of Decision (ROD), dated August 28, 2000, for the CALFED Bay-Delta Program Programmatic EIS/EIR. The Council is now filling the interim role of assisting the CALFED agencies during the early stages of implementing the ROD. The Council will be retired soon when the Calfornia Bay-Delta Public Advisory Committee is formed to advise the agencies during the first seven years of implementation (Stage 1 of a 30 year program).

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Bay-Delta Advisory Council currently has 35 members and is comprised of a diverse and balanced membership of stakeholder groups, including environmental justice, business, tribal, rural, and environmental, urban and agricultural water interests in the Bay-Delta watershed.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Bay-Delta Advisory Council meetings were initiated in June 1995 and 1 meeting has been held in FY 2001(October through September). This meeting is in addition to subcommittee meetings.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

In the Framework Agreement (between the State and Federal agencies), it was determined that the public must have a central role in the development of a long-term Bay-Delta Estuary solution. While there is a shared State-Federal interest in pursuing long-term solutions that adequately address the multiple environmental, economic, and water supply interests in the Bay-Delta Estuary ecosystem, neither the Federal or State Government acting alone can accomplish this task. Advice from the affected stakeholders in the system is critical to a successful solution.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

21. Remarks

The Council established two subcommittees (1) the Ecosystem Roundtable was established in the fall of 1996 to provide stakeholder input/recommendations to the Council and CALFED Agencies on the coordination of existing and anticipated State and Federal ecosystem restoration and management projects/programs and (2) the Delta Drinking Water Council was established in fall 1999 to advise the Council and CALFED agencies on necessary adaptations to the CALFED Bay-Delta Program's Drinking Water Improvement Strategy to achieve CALFED's drinking water objectives. Costs incurred and estimated for Fiscal Years 2000 and 2001, respectively, do not represent the total cost of operation of the Bay-Delta Advisory Council (BDAC). As stated in the Charter and explained above in question 20a, this effort is being conducted in cooperation with the State of California. As such, the State and Federal government are sharing the costs incurred by the

BDAC. The costs in item 18 represent the Federal share of BDAC operations.

Designated Federal Officer

Kirk C. Rodgers Acting Regional Director for the U.S Bureau of Reclamation

C.C Baroad or Reolamation				
Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation Special
Andreuccetti, Gene	06/21/1999	06/21/2001	California Waterfowl Association	Government Employee (SGE) Member
Belza, Tib	06/21/1999	06/21/2001	Northern California Water Association	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Borgonovo, Roberta	06/21/1999	06/21/2001	League of Women Voters	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Bransford, Don	06/01/1995	06/11/1999	Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Buck, Byron	10/23/1997	06/21/2001	California Urban Water Agencies	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Burts, Ezunial	01/13/1998	06/21/2001	Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Decker, Tom	11/04/1997	06/21/2001	California Chamber of Commerce	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Dunning, Harrison	07/03/1995	06/21/2001	Bay Institute	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Estrada, Torri	12/14/1999	06/21/2001	Urban Habitat Project	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Foley, John	06/29/1995	06/21/2001	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Fontes, Roger	05/19/1997	06/21/2001	Northern California Power Agency	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Frick, Howard	06/29/1995	06/21/2001	Arvin Edison Water Storage District	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Graff, Thomas	06/29/1995	06/21/2001	Environmental Defense Fund	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Guzman, Martha	12/14/1999	06/21/2001	United Farm Workers, AFL-CIO	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hall, Stephen	06/29/1995	06/11/1999	Assoc of California Water Agencies	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hasseltine, Eric	07/03/1995	06/21/2001	Contra Costa Council	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hildebrand, Alexander	06/29/1995	06/21/2001	South Delta Water Agency	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Izmirian, Richard	07/17/1995	06/21/2001	California Sportfishing Protection Alliance	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Jahns Southwick, Brenda	06/21/1999	06/21/2001	California Farm Bureau Federation	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kamei, Rosemary	05/26/1995	06/21/2001	Santa Clara Valley Water District	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Madigan, Mike	07/05/1995	06/21/2001	California Water Commission	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
McPeak, Sunne	06/29/1995	06/21/2001	Bay Area Economic Forum	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Meacher, Robert	08/21/1996	06/21/2001	Regional Council of Rural Counties	Employee (SGE) Member
Notthoff, Ann	06/05/1995	06/21/2001	Natural Resources Defense Council	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Parravano, Pietro	08/13/1995	06/21/2001	Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Pyle, Stuart	05/25/1995	06/21/2001	Kern County Water Agency	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Raab, Bob	06/29/1995	06/21/2001	Save San Francisco Bay Association	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Redmond, Judith	07/05/1995	06/21/2001	Community Alliance with Family Farmers	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Sablan, Marcia	06/29/1995	06/21/2001	Mayor of Firebaugh	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Schaver, Michael	06/21/1999	06/21/2001	Big Band of Pomo Indians	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Spivy-Weber, Frances	03/22/1999	06/21/2001	Mono Lake Committee	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

				Special
Ctoorno			San Luis Delta	Government
Stearns, Michael	02/15/1996	06/21/2001	Mendota Water	Employee
Michael			Authority	(SGE)
				Member
				Special
Thomas			Golden Gate	Government
Thomas,	06/29/1995	06/21/2001	Fishermen's	Employee
Roger			Association	(SGE)
				Member
				Special
\//riaht			Decignated	Government
Wright, Patrick	06/21/1999	06/21/2001	Designated State Official	Employee
rallick			State Official	(SGE)
				Member
				Special
Zanatiozny			Southern	Government
Zapoticzny,	06/21/1999	06/21/2001	California Water	Employee
Stephen			Committee	(SGE)
				Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 35

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		
Trust in government		
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		
Effective grant making		
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		
Implementation of laws or regulatory		
requirements		
Other		

Outcome Comments

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies	
None	✓	
Unable to Determine		
Under \$100,000		
\$100,000 - \$500,000		
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000		
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000		
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000		
Over \$10,000,000		
Cost Savings Other		
Cost Savings Comments		
What is the approximate Number of recommendation of the life of the committee?	ndations produced by this committee	
Number of Recommendations Comments		
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?	recommendations that have been or	
% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Co	omments	
What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these recommendations that have been or will be $\underline{\text{Partially}}$ implemented by the agency? $\%$		
% of Recommendations Partially Implemented	d Comments	
Does the agency provide the committee with find implement recommendations or advice offere Yes No Not Applicable ✓		

Agency Feedback Comments

Access Comments

What other actions has the agency taken	as a result of the committee's advice or
recommendation?	
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	
Action Comments	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of No	of applications for grants?
Grant Review Comments	
How is access provided to the informatio	
	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	
Other	