

2001 Current Fiscal Year Report: Advisory Committee on Alternative Toxicological Methods

Report Run Date: 03/28/2024 08:45:05 PM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Health and Human Services

2. Fiscal Year

2001

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Advisory Committee on Alternative
Toxicological Methods

3b. GSA

Committee No.

5192

4. Is this New Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

09/29/1997

6. Expected Renewal Date

7. Expected Term Date

09/29/2001

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

Yes

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

09/29/2001

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Terminate

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

No

10b. Legislation Pending?

11. Establishment Authority

Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment Authority

42 U.S.C. 217a; Section
222

13. Effective Date

11/17/1962

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee

Advisory Board

Scientific Technical Program

16a. Total Number of Reports

1

16b. Report Date Report Title

09/30/2001

Annual Report to the Secretary

Number of Committee Reports Listed: 1

17a.

Open

1 17b. Closed0 17c. Partially Closed0 Other Activities0 17d. Total 1

Meetings and Dates

Purpose

Not Listed for Annual Report

Start

11/28/2000

End

- 11/28/2000

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$2,200.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$37,084.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$400.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$7,211.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$340.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$571.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$5,250.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$53,056.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.50	0.00

**20a. How does the Committee accomplish its
purpose?**

The DHHS established the National Toxicology Program on November 15, 1978, to strengthen the Department activities in the testing of chemicals of public health concern as well as in the development and validation of new and better integrated test methods. On April 15, 1998, the Interagency Center for the Evaluation of Alternative Toxicological Methods (Center) was established to support Federal Agencies by coordinating and facilitating the review and adoption of toxicological test methods of

multi-agency interest as well as encourage participation and communication with other stakeholders throughout the process of test method development and validation. The Advisory Committee on Alternative Toxicological Methods (ACATM) was established to advise the Center and the Director of the Environmental Toxicology Program on the activities and directives both present and future, as they relate to the Center, including advice on fostering interaction with all stakeholders.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Members are selected from recognized authorities knowledgeable in academia, industry, and public interest organizations, and may include representation from federal and state government agencies and the international community, as appropriate.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Meetings shall be held approximately three times a year. These meetings are open to the public and there is opportunity for public input to the ACATM on agenda topics. During the past year, the Committee heard comprehensive presentations on and discussed: (1) a revised Up-and-Down Procedure for Assessing Acute Oral Toxicity; 2) an overview of the Frog Embryo Teratogenesis Assay - Xonopus (FETAX) procedure and database; and 3) a report on the International Workshop on In Vitro Methods for Assessing Acute Systemic Toxicity.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Advisory Committee on Alternative

Toxicological Methods provides review and advice on activities of the Interagency Center for the Evaluation of Alternative Toxicological Methods, including advice on fostering interaction with all stakeholders. Outside advice by an officially chartered committee becomes key to the acceptance and the level of trust by the scientific and public communities, and to the broadening of partnerships beyond government.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

N/A

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

Mary S. Wolfe Executive Secretary

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Bailey, Paul	10/01/2000	09/30/2002	Toxicology Consultant, Mobil	Special Government Employee
			Business Resources Corporation	(SGE) Member
			Professor, Environmental	Special Government Employee
Denison, Michael	10/01/2000	09/30/2002	Toxicology, University of California - Davis	(SGE) Member
			Professor & Director, Inst for Risk Analysis and Risk Communication, Univ of Washington	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Faustman, Elaine	10/01/2000	09/30/2002	Professor & Director, Ctr for Alternatives to Animal Testing, John Hopkins University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Goldberg, Alan	10/01/2000	09/30/2002	Director, Division of Toxicology, Covance Laboratories, Inc.	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Green, Sidney	10/01/2000	09/30/2002		

Hayes, A.	10/01/2000	09/30/2002	Vice President, Corporate Product Integrity, Gillette Company	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hurt, Susan	10/01/2000	09/30/2002	Senior Program Manager, Toxicology Dept., Rohm and Haas Company	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
McClellan, Roger	10/01/2000	09/30/2002	President & CEO, Chemical Industry Institute of Toxicology	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Montgomery, Charles	10/01/2000	09/30/2002	Director, Center for Comparative Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Rowan, Andrew	10/01/2000	09/30/2002	Senior Vice President, Research, Education and International Issues, Humane Society of the United States	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Stitzel, Katherine	10/01/2000	09/30/2002	Associate Director, Human Safety, Procter & Gamble Company	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Theran, Peter	10/01/2000	09/30/2002	Director, Ctr for Laboratory Animal Welfare, Massachusetts Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 12

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if
Applies

Improvements to health or safety



- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Trust in government | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Major policy changes | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Advance in scientific research | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost Savings Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Comments

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

0

Number of Recommendations Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable ☒

Agency Feedback Comments

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO

☐

Online Agency Web Site

☐

Online Committee Web Site

☐

Online GSA FACA Web Site

☐

Publications

☐

Other

☐

Access Comments