2001 Current Fiscal Year Report: DNA Advisory Board

Report Run Date: 03/29/2024 10:54:19 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Justice 2001

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

DNA Advisory Board 1998

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date 12/31/2000 12/31/2000

8a. Was Terminated During Termination

FiscalYear? Term Date

Authority

8c. Actual

Yes P.L.103-322 12/31/2000

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

FiscalYear Legislation Legislation Pending?

Terminate

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific 13. 14.

Establishment Effective Committee

Authority Date Type Presidential?

P.L.103-322 09/13/1994 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory

Board

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Open

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

Current Next FY FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members

\$22,207.00 \$0.00

18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00 \$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$14,009.00\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$4,784.00\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$15,750.00\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$2,000.00\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$1,000.00\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$1,000.00\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$12,750.00\$0.00
18d. Total	\$73,500.00\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.50 0.50

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The DNA Advisory Board has recommended Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories to the Director of the FBI.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The DNA Advisory Board represents a broad, well-balanced combination of points of view on DNA matters, from the legal, forensic, technical, statistical, law enforcement and ethical perspectives. Members of this Board include: scientists from federal, state and local public forensic laboratories; private laboratories; and the National Institute of Standards and Technology, as well as a bioethicist; a Supreme Court Justice and a population and molecular geneticists.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The DNA Advisory Board holds approximately four meetings a year.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The DNA Advisory Board is statutorily authorized and mandated to make recommendations concerning minimum standards for laboratories performing forensic DNA analysis.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings are open to the public.

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

Dwight Adams DFO(FBI)

Committee	Start	End	Occupation	Member
Members			•	Designation
Abrahamson, Shirley	10/01/2000	12/31/2000	Wisconsin Supreme Court	Special
				Government
				Employee
				(SGE)
				Member
Adams, Dwight	10/01/2000	12/21/2000	Federal Bureau of Investigation	Special
				Government
				Employee
				(SGE)
				Member
				Special
Diobor			Harvard Medical	Government
Bieber, Frederick	10/01/2000	12/31/2000	School - Brigham &	Employee
			Women's Hospital	(SGE)
				Member
Budowle, Bruce	10/01/2000	12/31/2000	Federal Bureau of Investigation - Forensic Science Research and Training Center	Special
				Government
				Employee
				(SGE)
				Member

Chakraborty, Ranajit	10/01/2000	12/31/2000	University of Texas - Houston Health Science Center	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Coffman, William	10/01/2000	12/31/2000	Florida Department of Law Enforcement - Tallahassee Regional Crime Laboratory	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Devlin, Bernard	10/01/2000	12/31/2000	University of Pittsburgh - Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic	Member
Eisenberg, Arthur	10/01/2000	12/31/2000	University of North Texas - Health Science Center	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Eisenberg, Marcia	10/01/2000	12/31/2000	Roche Biomedical Laboratories	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Ferrara, Paul	10/01/2000	12/31/2000	Virginia Division of Forensic Science	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Gibbons, Mary	10/01/2000	12/31/2000	Oakland Police Department	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Herkenham, M.	10/01/2000	12/31/2000	New York State Police	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Juengst, Eric	10/01/2000	12/31/2000	Case Western Reserve University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Narveson, Susan	10/01/2000	12/31/2000	Phoenix Police Department	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Presley, Larry	10/01/2000	12/31/2000	Federal Bureau of Investigation - Forensic Science & Training Center	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Special National Institute of Government Reeder, 10/01/2000 12/31/2000 Standards & Employee Dennis Technology (SGE) Member Special Indianapolis-Marion Government Tahir, 10/01/2000 12/31/2000 County Forensic Employee Mohammad Services Agency (SGE) Member Number of Committee Members Listed: 17 **Narrative Description** What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee? Checked if **Applies** Improvements to health or safety Trust in government Major policy changes Advance in scientific research Effective grant making Improved service delivery Increased customer satisfaction Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements Other **Outcome Comments** What are the cost savings associated with this committee? **Checked if Applies** None Unable to Determine Under \$100,000 \$100,000 - \$500,000 \$500,001 - \$1,000,000

\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations properties of the committee?	roduced by this committee
Number of Recommendations Comments	
What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these recommen will be $\underline{\text{Fully}}$ implemented by the agency? %	dations that have been or
% of Recommendations <u>Fully</u> Implemented Comments	
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommen will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?	dations that have been or
% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comment	ts
Does the agency provide the committee with feedback reimplement recommendations or advice offered? Yes No Not Applicable	egarding actions taken to
Agency Feedback Comments	
What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the	he committee's advice or

recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	
Action Comments	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of application	ons for grants?
Grant Review Comments	
How is access provided to the information for the Co	
	ed if Applies
Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	
Other	

Access Comments