1999 Current Fiscal Year Report: Mississippi River Coordinating Commission

Report Run Date: 03/29/2024 07:13:52 AM

1. Department or Agency	2. Fiscal Year
Department of the Interior	1999
3. Committee or Subcommittee	**3b. GSA Committee No.**
Mississippi River Coordinating Commission	154

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?	5. Current Charter	6. Expected Renewal Date	7. Expected Term Date
No	11/19/1992		11/18/1998

8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear?	8b. Specific Termination Authority	8c. Actual Term Date
Yes	P.L. 100-696	11/18/1998

9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear	10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?	10b. Legislation Pending?
Terminate	Yes	Enacted

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment Authority	13. Effective Date	14. Commitee Type	14c. Presidential?
P.L. 100-696	11/18/1988	Continuing	No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports	No Reports for this FiscalYear

17a. Open	17b. Closed	17c. Partially Closed	Other Activities	17d. Total
0	0	0	0	0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY

18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	$0.00	$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	$0.00	$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	$0.00	$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	$0.00	$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	$0.00	$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	$0.00	$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	$0.00	$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	$0.00	$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	$0.00	$0.00
18d. Total	$0.00	$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Commission has fulfilled its purpose and pursuant to the enabling legislation, terminated on November 18, 1998. The Commission was not active in FY99; no meetings were held and no commission-related expenses were incurred. In FY 1998, the Commission had worked with the National Park Service (NPS) to monitor and facilitate implementation of the comprehensive management plan for the Mississippi National River and Recreation Area. The Commission had also prepared for its scheduled sunset in November 1998 by evaluating its current functions and determining that those functions would best be performed by NPS and partner agencies, rather than seeking continuation of a commission.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Membership on the 22-member Commission was prescribed by the enabling legislation, as follows: (a) the Director of the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, or a designee; (b) the Chief of the Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army or a designee; (c) the Department of the Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, or a designee; (d) three individuals from recommendations by the Governor of Minnesota to represent the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, Minnesota Department of Transportation, and Minnesota Environmental Quality Board; (e) one individual to represent the Minnesota Historical Society; (f) one individual to represent the Metropolitan Council of the Twin Cities Area; (g) four elected officials to represent the Cities of St. Paul and Minneapolis; (h) four elected officials from recommendations by the Governor of Minnesota to represent the interests of the other affected municipalities and counties; (i) one individual to represent the Metropolitan Parks and Open Spaces Commission; (j) one individual from recommendations by the Governor of Minnesota to represent the interests of commercial navigation; and (k) four individuals from recommendations by the Governor of Minnesota chosen from the general public.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Meetings were generally held quarterly. The Commission provided review and recommendations in preparation of the management plan for the area, and most recently had been instrumental in the passing of an amendment to the plan to allow for partnership

education facilities and programs with the local non-profit museum.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?
The broad base of knowledge, experience and ideas represented on the Commission was valuable to developing the area's management plan. The plan was approved in 1995, and it was important to the area's startup to have had the Commission's involvement through the first few years of plan implementation.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?
All meetings were open.

21. Remarks
Re MEMBERSHIP -- In accordance with the Commission charter, members continued to serve after the expiration of their terms until successors were appointed.

Designated Federal Officer
JoAnn M. Kyral, Superintendent, Mississippi National River and Recreation Area

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Archabal, Nina	05/14/1990	11/18/1998	Minnesota Historical Society	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Biernat, Joseph	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	City of Minneapolis	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Blackstad, Larry	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	General Public	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Dunn, George	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	General Public	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Engstrom, Dave	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Local Governments	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Fairbanks, Deanna	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Minnesota Environmental Quality Board	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Flower, Terrence	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Metropolitan Council	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Haigh, Susan	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	City of St. Paul	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hartwig, William	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hunt-Alexander, Shirley	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	General Public	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Ista, Jodelle	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Local Government	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Johnson, Barbara	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Metro Parks & Open Space Commission	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Lambert, Richard	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Commercial Navigation/Minnesota DOT	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Moratzka, Lynn	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Local Government	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Nargang, Ronald	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Minnesota Department of Natural Resources	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Nelson, Lee	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Commercial Navigation	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Pappas, Sandra	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	City of St. Paul	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Schenk, William	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	National Park Service	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Schotzko, Judith	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	General Public	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Schulstad, Dennis	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	City of Minneapolis	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Weaver, John	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	Local Governments	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Wonsik, John	03/12/1996	11/18/1998	US Army Corp of Engineers	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 22

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	☐

Trust in government	☐
Major policy changes	☐
Advance in scientific research	☐
Effective grant making	☐
Improved service delivery	☐
Increased customer satisfaction	☐
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	☐
Other	☐

Outcome Comments

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	☑
Unable to Determine	☐
Under $100,000	☐
$100,000 - $500,000	☐
$500,001 - $1,000,000	☐
$1,000,001 - $5,000,000	☐
$5,000,001 - $10,000,000	☐
Over $10,000,000	☐
Cost Savings Other	☐

Cost Savings Comments

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

0

Number of Recommendations Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?
%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?
Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable ☑

Agency Feedback Comments

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	☐
Reallocated resources	☐
Issued new regulation	☐
Proposed legislation	☐
Approved grants or other payments	☐
Other	☐

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?
No

Grant Review Comments

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

- Contact DFO ☐
- Online Agency Web Site ☐
- Online Committee Web Site ☐
- Online GSA FACA Web Site ☐
- Publications ☐
- Other ☐

Access Comments