# 2024 Current Fiscal Year Report: Mendo-Lake County Resource Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 04/26/2024 07:36:12 PM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Agriculture 2024

3b. GSA

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Committee No.

Mendo-Lake County Resource Advisory

Committee

84584

4. Is this New During 5. Current 6. Expected 7. Expected Fiscal Year? Charter Renewal Date Term Date

No 12/19/2023 12/19/2025

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination Authority 8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency 10b.

Recommendation for Next Req to Terminate?

FiscalYear Legislation Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment

13. 14. 14c. Effective Committee

Authority Date Type Presidential?

SRS and Community

Self-Determination Act as

reauthorized in PL 110-343,

10/03/2008 Continuing No

PL 112-141 and as amended

Agricultural Improvement Act

of 2018 PL. 115-334.

**15. Description of Committee** Other Committee

16a. Total

No Reports for this FiscalYear

Reports

17a.

0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Open

**Meetings and Dates** 

No Meetings

	<b>Current Next</b>	
	FY	FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to	ድለ ሰላ	\$0.00
Non-Federal Members	φυ.υι	<b>, φυ.υυ</b>
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to	ድስ በወ	\$0.00
Federal Members	φυ.υι	<b>, φυ.υυ</b>
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Federal Staff	φυ.υι	, φυ.υυ
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-Member Consultants	ψ0.00	, ψυ.υυ
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-Federal Members	ψ0.00	, ψυ.υυ
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Federal Members	Ψ0.00	, ψυ.υυ
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Federal Staff	Ψ0.00	, ψυ.υυ
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-member Consultants	Ψ0.00	, ψυ.υυ
18c. Other(rents,user charges,	\$0.00	\$0.00
graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	Ψ0.00	, ψυ.υυ
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years	0.00	0.00
(FTE)	0.00	, 0.00

# 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The purpose of a Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act resource advisory committee is to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service consistent with Division C, Title VI of Public Law 110-343. The Act describes the duties of the committees as follows: to review projects proposed by counties and other interested parties; to recommend projects and funding; to provide early and continuous coordination with Forest Service officials; to provide frequent opportunities for

citizens, organizations, tribes, land management agencies and other interested parties to participate openly and meaningfully, beginning in the early stages of project development; to monitor projects approved under the Act; to advise the designated federal official on the progress of monitoring; and to make recommendations for any appropriate changes or adjustments to projects being monitored.

### 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee is comprised of 15 members, five in each of three categories prescribed in the Act. Within each category, the Act identifies specific interests members are to represent. Committees are formed in geographic areas to ensure each national forest located within a participating county or counties has access to a resource advisory committee. The Act requires members to be residents of the state or states within which the committee has jurisdiction.

## 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee generally will meet 1-4 times per year or as needed to perform its duties.

## 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The RAC is necessary to improve collaborative relationships among the people that use and care for the National Forests and to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service concerning projects and funding consistent with Title II of the Act.

## 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

All meetings are open to the public.

#### 21. Remarks

The Mendo-Lake Resource Advisory Committee did not meet or make any recommendations in FY23.

### **Designated Federal Officer**

Frank Aebly District Ranger

### **Narrative Description**

By recommending projects that maintain and improve the health of national forest ecosystems and improve cooperative relationships among the people who use and care for the national forests, the Secure Rural Schools resource advisory committees support the Forest Service mission to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the Nation's forests and grasslands to meet the needs of present and future generations. The committees specifically support Forest Service strategic goals to 1. Restore, sustain, and enhance the national forests; and 2. Provide and sustain benefits to the American people.

### What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if	
	Applies	
Improvements to health or safety		
Trust in government		✓
Major policy changes		
Advance in scientific research		
Effective grant making		✓
Improved service delivery		
Increased customer satisfaction		
Implementation of laws or regulatory		~
requirements		·Y
Other		

#### **Outcome Comments**

Not Applicable

What are the cost savings associated with this committee	What are the	he cost savine	s associated	with this	committee
--	--------------	----------------	--------------	-----------	-----------

what are the cost savings associated wi	ith this committee?
	Checked if Applies
None	<b>✓</b>
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
Not Applicable.	
What is the approximate Number of reco	ommendations produced by this committee
for the life of the committee?	
0	

#### **Number of Recommendations Comments**

No recommendations since its establishment. No recommendations in FY23.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency? 0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

No activity.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

### % of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

implement recomm	rovide the committee we nendations or advice of Not Applicable	ith feedback regarding actions taken to fered?
whether recommend also reports on actio	FS) Designated Federal led projects proposals are ns taken to implement appresentations at committed	Official regularly reports to the committee on e or are not approved by the FS. The DFO oproved projects. This may take the form of e meetings, committee field trips and
What other actions recommendation?	has the agency taken a	as a result of the committee's advice or
recommendation?		Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities		
9		
Issued new regulation		
Proposed legislation		
Approved grants or other payments		
Other		
Action Comments		
Is the Committee en	ngaged in the review of	applications for grants?
Grant Review Com	ments	
How is access prov	vided to the information	for the Committee's documentation?  Checked if Applies
Contact DFO		✓
Online Agency Web	Site	Name (
Online Committee W		<b>√</b>
Online GSA FACA V	Veb Site	<b>✓</b>
Publications		<b>✓</b>

Other			

### **Access Comments**